

\222

C.A.No. 963-964 OF 2000

ITEM No. 103

Court No. 1

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No. 963-964 of 2000

State of U.P. and Anr.Appellant (s)

VERSUS

Johri MalRespondent (s)

(With appln. for directions and office report)

With

C.A. No. 967 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 968 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 970 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 976-77 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 975 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 972 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 973 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 969 of 2000
(With office report)

.../2-

-2-

C.A. No. 974 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 971 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 965 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 966 of 2000
(With office report)

C.A. No. 6549 of 1999
(With office report)

S.L.P.(C) No. 7651 of 2000
(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 09/09/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

For Appellant (s)Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

For Petitioner (s)Mr. R.N. Keshwani, Adv.(Not Present)

For Respondent (s)
in C.A. 967:Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.

in C.As 963-64, 968,
971, 973 & 975: Mr. J.M. Sharma, Adv.

in C.As 965 & 966:Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Adv.

in C.As 969 & 972:Ms. Sandhya Goswami, Adv.
...3/-

-3-

in C.A. 970:Mr. T.N. Singh, Adv.

in C.A. 974:Mr. S.P. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ashwini Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.

in C.A. 6549:Mr. Subrat Birla, Adv.
Mr. S.C. Birla, Adv.

in C.A. 6549:Mr. Y.P. Dhamija, Adv.

in SLP 7651:Mr. R.C. Srivastava, Sr.Adv.
Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, learned counsel started his arguments at 11.10 a.m. and concluded at 12.35 p.m. Thereafter Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned senior counsel made his submissions till 2.30 p.m. Mr. R.C. Srivastava, learned senior counsel argued his case for five minutes, when the Court passed the following order:

"S.L.P.(C) No. 7651 of 2000:

None appears on behalf of the petitioners.

The order under challenge is interlocutory in nature and, therefore, we do not find it a case for interference. Moreover, by passage of time, this petition is rendered infructuous and is, accordingly, dismissed."

Mr. Jitender Mohan Sharma and Mr. Subrat Birla, learned counsel made their submissions for five minutes, when the Court reserved the judgment in all the civil appeals.

[Alka Dudeja][Suraj Parkash]
Court Master Court Master