

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1988 OF 2002

A.M.S. KASSIM

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

B.M.A. NAJIRA BEGUM

Respondent(s)

Date: 19/03/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

For Appellant(s) Mr. A. Lakshmi Narayan,Adv.
Mr. V. Ramasubramanian,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sunil Gupta,Adv.
Mr. S. Ravi Shankar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The civil appeal is dismissed.

[Alka Dudeja]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1988 OF 2002

A.M.S. Kassim

...Appellant(s)

Versus

B.M.A. Najira Begum

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.
We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned

order.

The civil appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

The appellant is, however, granted time till 31st December, 2009, to vacate the premises in question upon filing usual undertaking in this Court within four weeks from today.

It is directed that in case the appellant fails to vacate the premises in question within the aforesaid time, it would be open to the decree holder to file an execution petition for delivery of possession and in case such a petition has been already filed, an application shall be filed therein to the effect that the appellant has not vacated the premises in question within the time granted by this Court. In either eventuality, the Executing Court is not required to issue any notice to the appellant. The Executing Court will see that delivery of possession is effected within

...2/-

-2-

a period of fifteen days from the date of filing of the execution petition or the application aforementioned. In case for delivery of possession any armed force is necessary, the same shall be deputed by the Superintendent of Police within forty eight hours from the date requisition is received therefor. It is also directed that in case anybody else, other than the appellant, is found in possession, he shall also be dispossessed from the premises in question.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[G.S. SINGHVI]

New Delhi,
March 19, 2009.