

**SECTION XII**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 5-8

(Applications for substitution on behalf of Lrs. of deceased respondent No. 4)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 13-16

(Applications for condonation of delay in filing of substitution application)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 9-12

(Applications for setting aside of abatement)

IN

**CIVIL APPEAL No. 3033 of 2006**

The Corporation of Madras and Anr. ...Appellants

Versus

M. Parthasarathy and Ors. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

It is submitted that counsel for the appellants has on 2nd March, 2011 filed applications for substitution to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent No. 4, which is barred by time by 1326 days, alongwith applications for condonation of delay in filing substitution application and setting aside of abatement. The applications have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 5 to 16.

The applications in the matter above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Judge-in-chambers with this office report.

Dated this, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2015

DEPUTY REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advocate

Mr. R. Chandrachud, Advocate

DEPUTY REGISTRAR

ac

SECTION XII

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. 3033 of 2006

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.

(Application for substitution on behalf of Lrs. of deceased respondent No. 4)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.

(Application for condonation of delay in filing of substitution application)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.

(Application for setting aside of abatement of delay)

The Corporation of Madras and Anr.

...Appellants

Versus

M. Parthasarathy and Ors.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT

The instant matter was listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 5th September, 2014 when the following order was passed:

**"It is pertinent to note that since 2011, the ld. Counsel for the appellant has committed default in not taking steps for taking out notice of motion on the application for substitution of legal representatives of deceased respondent No.4 alongwith application for condonation of delay.**

**Matter be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for necessary orders/directions. "**

It is submitted that pursuant to above quoted order, counsel for the appellants has on 2nd March, 2011 filed an applications for substitution, to bring on record the Lrs. of deceased respondent No. 4 which is barred by time by 1326 days alongwith application for condonation of delay in filing substitution application and setting aside of abatement of delay but he has not taken out Notice of Motion in respect of legal representatives of deceased respondent No. 14.

...2/-

The default on the part of the appellant is reported to the Hon'ble Judge-in-chambers with this office report on default.

Dated this, the 11<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2014

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advocate

Mr. R. Chandrachud, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

hp