

Listed on. 21.02.2017

Court No. : 10

Item no. :102

SECTION XII

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. 3033 of 2006

The Corporation of Madras and Anr. ...Appellants
Versus
M. Parthasarathy and Ors. ...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matter abovementioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 14th July, 2006, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"Leave granted."

Subsequently, the matter abovementioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 15th December, 2010, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"We are of the opinion that an effort should be made to resolve the dispute between the parties by mediation. Accordingly, we refer the matter to Hon'ble Mr. Justice E. Padmanabhan, former Judge of the Madras High Court at Chennai for mediation. Hon'ble Mr. Justice E. Padmanabhan may fix his own terms of emoluments. Hon'ble Mr. Justice E. Padmanabhan may take help from the Tamil Nadu Mediation and conciliation Centre.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the respondents are individuals, whereas the two appellants are the Corporation of Madras and its Junior Engineer and hence the appellants are in a better position to pay the emoluments of Hon'ble Mr. Justice E. Padmanabhan. This aspect may be considered by Hon'ble Mr. Justice E. Padmanabhan to whom we are sending this matter for mediation. The parties are directed to appear before Hon'ble Mr. Justice E. Padmanabhan on 24.01.2011 at 11 a.m.

List after receipt of the report of Hon'ble Mr. Justice E. Padmanabhan."

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that in compliance of Hon'ble Court order dated 15th December, 2010 abovesaid, Report

...2/-

of the Mediator has been received in the matter. A copy of the report is included in appeal paper books for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

Thereafter, the matter abovementioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 23rd November, 2016, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"On the request of the learned senior counsel for the appellants, four weeks' time is granted to file additional documents.
List the matter after four weeks."**

It is further submitted that Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Counsel for the Appellant has only on 10th February, 2017 filed additional documents i.e. with a delay of 51 days but no application for condonation of delay has been filed so far despite this Registry's letter dated 7th February, 2017. However, additional documents has been included in appeal paper books.

It is further submitted that there are four respondents in the matter. M/s. Arputham Aruna, Advocates has filed vakalatnama and appearance on behalf of all the respondents.

Service is complete.

It is also submitted that original record in the matter has been returned back to the High Court in view of Circular dated 4th /5th December, 2014.

The matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 20th day of February, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advocate
M/s. Arputham Aruna, Advocates

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac