

b"

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO. 173 OF 2013

ISHA SEHGAL

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

VIPIN SEHGAL

...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

On hearing counsel for the petitioner and on going through the materials on record, we are satisfied that the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner for transfer of the matrimonial case is justified and is fit to be allowed.

We, accordingly, direct for the transfer of Case No.1267 of 2012 titled 'Vipin Sehgal vs. Isha Sehgal' pending before the Family Court, Agra, Uttar Pradesh to the Court of Competent jurisdiction at Panchkula, Haryana.

Let the records of the case be transferred as directed without any delay.

The Transfer Petition is, accordingly, allowed.

.....J.  
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

.....J.  
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

NEW DELHI,  
OCTOBER 21, 2013.

ITEM NO.202

COURT NO.12

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).5280/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 22/03/2013 in CRMWP No.4979/2013 of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

NIRMAL ARORA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment,exemption from filing O.T.,permission to place addl. documents on record and office report)

WITH T.P.(C) NO. 173 of 2013

(With application for stay and office report)

(For Final Disposal)

Date: 21/10/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Promila Nain, Adv.  
For Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vipin Gogia, Adv.  
Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, Adv.  
Mr. Brijendra Singh, Adv.  
  
Dr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP (CRL.) NO.5280 OF 2013

It has been informed by the counsel for the petitioners that a final report dated 7.4.2013 has been submitted by the investigating authorities in the case which has been lodged against the petitioners by the respondent-complainant (Vipin Sehgal). However, it has also been reported that the complainant has filed a protest petition.

Even if that is the position, this special leave petition has obviously been rendered infructuous since the final report has been submitted in regard to the complaint lodged by the respondent-Vipin Sehgal and, therefore, there is no question of quashing the proceeding based upon that complaint.

In this view of the matter, counsel for the petitioners seek permission of the Court to withdraw this petition at this stage. Ordered accordingly.

T.P.(C) NO.173 OF 2013

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

| (Neetu Khajuria) | (Saroj Saini) |  
| Sr.P.A. | Court Master |

(Signed order in T.P.(C) No.173/2013 is placed on the file.)