

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).443/2007

(From the judgement and order dated 19/12/2006 in CRLMA No.1034/2006, of
The HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL)

JAGDISH PANDEY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARANCHAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and office
report))
(For final disposal)

Date: 13/01/2014 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Chaandra Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. Prabhat Kumar Rai, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Verma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Satyajit A.Desai, Adv.
Ms. Anagha S.Desai, Adv.
Mr. Akash Kakade, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Gupta ,Adv

Mr. P.P.Malhotra, ASG,
Mr. P.K.Dey, Adv.
Ms. Ranjana NARayana, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran ,Adv

Ms. Rachana Srivastava ,Adv

Mr. Vivek Gupta ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

After arguing the matter at some length, learned counsel
for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this

petition reserving liberty for the petitioner to move the Sessions
Judge for appropriate redress based inter alia upon the subsequent
report submitted by the CBI. The special leave petition is accordingly
dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for. The interim order
passed by this court shall also stand vacated. We make it clear that
we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the contentions
sought to be raised by the petitioner before the Sessions Judge. In
particular the question whether or not the Sessions Judge can or
ought to look into the report submitted by the CBI is left open to
be examined by the courts below in accordance with law and after

hearing learned counsel for the parties concerned.

| (Shashi Sareen)
| Court Master

| | (Sneh Lata Sharma)
| | Court Master

| |