

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**

**INHERENT JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 87-89/2026**

**IN**

**TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 1404-1406 OF 2025**

**MOHAMMED NASEERA BUGUM & ORS. ETC. . . . . PETITIONER(S)**

**VERSUS**

**YOGITA RANA & ORS. ETC. . . . . RESPONDENT(S)**

**O R D E R**

1. These contempt cases were instituted alleging willful disobedience to the order dated 08.10.2025 passed by this Court in Transfer Petition (Civil) Nos. 1404-1406/2025. By the said order, this Court had directed the State of Telangana to issue appointment letters to the petitioners therein within a period of three months.

2. By order dated 25.03.2026, this Court directed the respondents/alleged contemnors to comply with the order dated 08.10.2025 without dislodging any other teacher who was already in service, and subject to their doing so, their presence on the next date of hearing was dispensed with. This order was passed in view of the fact that some teachers filed an application for intervention, in I.A. No. 92139/2026, stating that that they were sought to be displaced by the authorities in the light of the order dated 08.10.2025. They were, accordingly, impleaded as intervenors.

3. While so, compliance affidavit dated 10.04.2026 has been filed by the District Education Officer, Hyderabad District, Telangana (common respondent No. 3 in the contempt petitions),

reporting full compliance. In paragraph 4 therein, he stated that the Director of School Education issued proceedings dated 09.04.2026 instructing the District Education Officers of the concerned districts to cancel the show-cause notices issued to the eleven Secondary Grade Teachers; and to appoint the petitioners in compliance with the order dated 08.10.2025 passed by this Court.

4. Paragraph 5 of the compliance affidavit records that, in compliance with the aforestated instructions, the District Education Officers of the concerned districts issued appointment orders to the petitioners on 09.04.2026 and the same have been communicated to them.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners in the contempt cases fairly states that the order now stands complied with.

6. Learned counsel for the intervenors states that they are yet to be communicated the cancellation of the show-cause notices issued to them. However, in the light of the categorical statement made in the compliance affidavit, we are certain that necessary steps to complete that process will be taken expeditiously.

7. The contempt cases are, accordingly, closed/disposed of.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(SANJAY KUMAR)

.....J.  
(K. VINOD CHANDRAN)

NEW DELHI;  
APRIL 10, 2026.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 87-89/2026 in T.P.(C) No. 1404-1406/2025

MOHAMMED NASEERA BUGUM & ORS. ETC. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

YOGITA RANA & ORS. ETC. Respondent(s)

(IA No. 92140/2026 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date : 10-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Mandeep Kalra, AOR  
Ms. Radhika Narula, Adv.  
Ms. Anushna Satapathy, Adv.  
Ms. Chitrangada Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Yashas J., Adv.  
Mr. Vaibhav Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Paras Mohan Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :  
Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR  
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.  
  
Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv. (N/P)  
Ms. Trisha Chandran, Adv.  
Mr. Yash S. Vijay, AOR  
Mr. Joshua Tom Thomas, Adv.  
Mr. Shikhar Aggarwal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The contempt cases are closed/disposed of, in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUGLANI)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(PREETI SAXENA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(signed order is placed on the file)