

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEAL AT THE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6582 OF 2012
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.9
(Application for directions)
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.10
(Application for Seeking permission to file additional documents)
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.11
(Application for exemption from filing official translation)

VINOD KUMAR GUPTA

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

SUSHIL KUMAR GUPTA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned alongwith connected matters was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 24th April, 2015, when the Court while disposing of connected Civil Appeal No.6581 of 2012 inter-alia passed the following Order:

“Civil Appeal No.6582 of 2012

“Let the matter be listed on 1st May, 2015.

The petitioner, Mr. Vinod Kumar Gupta and the respondent No. 1, Mr. Sushil Kumar Gupta, shall remain personally present on that day.”

Thereafter the matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 01.05.2015 was the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

“Let the matter be listed for final hearing on 22nd July, 2015. As agreed to by the learned counsel for the parties, it shall be taken up at 2.00 p.m.”

Thereafter the matter was again above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 22.07.2015 was the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

“List the matter on 20.08.2015 at 2.00 p.m.”

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. P.K. Manohar, Advocate for respondent No. 1 has filed an application for direction which has been registered as Interlocutory Application No. 9 and already included in the paper books..

It is further submitted that Mr. P.K Monohar, Advocate has also filed Applications for Seeking permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing official translation and the same have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 10 and 11 and being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service is complete.

The appeal alongwith Applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. P.K. Manohar, Advocate

Ms. Binu Tamta, Advocate

M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocates

Mr. Devender Singh, Advocate

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON: 20.08.2015

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION LD. COURT NO:1

ITEM NO:3

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5262-63, 5264-66, 52, 5267-69, 5270-72, 5273-74 AND 5275-76
OF 2011

AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA ETC. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

SHASHI BALI & ORS.ETC.ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court on 07.12.2011 was the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

**"Leave granted.
Leaved granted."**

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5262-63 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are common seven respondents in these matters and Respondent No. 4 is represented through Mr. S.K. Suri, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 5 to 7 are served through High Court. Unserved notices on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 have been received with postal remarks "Not residing at given address" but inspite of this registry's letter dated 19.01.2013. Counsel for the appellant has not furnished the latest and correct address of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 so far.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

Service is not complete on Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5264-66 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are eight common respondents in these matters. Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are represented through Mr. S.K. Suri, Advocate Respondent Nos. 6 to 8 are served through High Court. High Court has sent Certificate of service on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 stating therein that unserved notices on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 have been received from High Court with Postal Remark" not residing at the given address." Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 have expired.

Inspite of this Registry's letter dated 21.01.2013 counsel for the appellant has not furnished the latest and correct address of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 so far. Counsel has

also not filed application for substitution on behalf of deceased respondent Nos.3 and 4 so far.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

Service is not complete on Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5261-69 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are common seven respondents in these matter. Respondent No. 4 is represented through Mr. S.K. Suri, Advocate. Service on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 5 to 7 is still awaited from the High Court.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

Service is not complete on Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 5 to 7.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5270-72 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are common ten respondents in these matters. Service on behalf of all the 10 respondents is still awaited from the High Court.

Service on behalf of all the 10 respondents is not complete.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5273-74 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are common six respondents in these matters. Respondent No. 4 is represented through Mr. S.K. Suri, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 6 are served through High Court. Unserved notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 5 has been received from High Court with postal remarks "Not found at the given address". Counsel for the appellant has not furnished the latest and correct address of Respondent No. 5 so far.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

Service on behalf of Respondent No. 5 is not complete.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5275-76 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are common eight respondents in these matters. Respondent No. 5 is represented through Mr. S.K. Suri, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 6 and 8 are served through High Court. Unserved notices have been received from High Court on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 with postal remarks." Not residing at the given address but counsel for the appellant has not furnished the latest and correct address of Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 so far. Service on behalf of Respondent No. 7 is still awaited from the High Court. Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case in the matters above-mentioned so far.

Service on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 7 is not complete.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this office report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mr.Chanchal Kumar Ganguly, Advocate

Mr.S.K. Suri, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON: 20.08.2015
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION LD. COURT NO:2
ITEM NO:14
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 9996 OF 2011

PANDURANG

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that there are twenty five respondents in the matter above-mentioned. Respondent Nos. 1, 15 & 25, 19 and 22 are represented through Ms. Asha G Nair, M/s. C.S. Ashri, R.K. Adsure and M.Y. Deshmukh, Advocates respectively. In respect of remaining respondents, they have not chosen to enter appearance though served at SLP Stage.

It is further submitted that Mr. M.Y. Deshmukh, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance, counter affidavit and application for vacating order of stay on behalf of Respondent No. 22 but he has neither taken "No Objection" form erstwhile Mr. R.P. Goyal, Advocate nor served its copy on Ms. Asha G Nair and Mr. R.K. Adsure, Advocates for Respondent Nos. 1 and 19 respectively so far.

It is lastly submitted that Ms. Asha G Nair, Advocate has filed statement of case on behalf of Respondent No. 1 but she has not served its copy on M/s. R.K. Adsure, R.P. Goyal and C.S. Ashri, Advocates. Ms. Anagha S Desai, Advocate for the appellant and M/s. C.S. Ahsri, R.K. Adsure and M.Y Deshmukh, Advocate for the respondents have not filed statement of case so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar with this office report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Ms. Anagha S Desai, Advocate

Mr. M.Y. Deshmukh, Advocate

Ms. Asha G Nair, Advocate

Mr. R.K. Adsure, Advocate
Mr. C.S. Ashri, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2507 OF 2015
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Applications for condonation of delay)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4996-4997 OF 2014

HEIRS OF DECEASED MACHI
PRABHATBHAI MATHURBHAI
THROUGH POA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

HEIRS OF DECEASED JITENDRABHIA
NAROTTAM PATEL & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate has filed above mentioned review petitions to review the Order of this Hon'ble Court dated 29.04.2014 . There is a delay of 68 days in filing the review petition and counsel has also filed an application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition which has been registered as interlocutory application No.1

It is further submitted that appeal paper books have been weeded out after due preservation. However, counsel has filed fresh appeal paper books.

The review petitions along with applications above-mentioned are circulated before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 19th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2507 OF 2015
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Applications for condonation of delay)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4996-4997 OF 2014

HEIRS OF DECEASED MACHI
PRABHATBHAI MATHURBHAI
THROUGH POA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

HEIRS OF DECEASED JITENDRABHIA
NAROTTAM PATEL & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

OFFICE REPORT ON LIMITATION

The Review Petition above mentioned has been filed by Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate and there is delay of 68 days in filing the same.

Dated this the 19th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ap

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2501 OF 2015
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Applications for condonation of delay in filing the review petition)
AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2
(Application for oral Hearing in both matters)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10304 OF 2014

HITENDRA VRAJLAL ASHARA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that Ms. Sumita Ray, Advocate haas filed above mentioned review petitions to review the Order of this Hon'ble Court dated 24.11.2014 . There is a delay of 148 days in filing the review petition and counsel has also filed an application for condonation of delay in filing the review petitions which has been registered as interlocutory application No.1

It is further submitted that appeal paper books have been weeded out after due preservation. However, counsel has filed fresh appeal paper books.

The review petitions along with applications above-mentioned are circulated before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 19th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms.Sumita Ray, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2501 OF 2015
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10304 OF 2014

HITENDRA VRAJLAL ASHARA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT ON LIMITATION

The Review Petition above mentioned has been filed by Ms. Sumita Ray, Advocate and there is delay of 148 days in filing the same.

Dated this the 19th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ap

LISTED ON :
COURT NO :
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4493 AND 4743 OF 2006

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA ETC. ETC.	...APPELLANTS
-VERSUS-	
ALLIANCE FINSTOCK LTD.& ORS. ETC. ETC.	...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4493 OF 2006

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are 168 respondents in this appeal. Respondent No. 1, 2, 7, 54, 55, 57, 80, 96, 131 and 134 are represented through Mr. Jatin Zaveri, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 3, 90, 98, 99, 100, 105, 117, 120, 123, 126 and 168 are represented through M/s. K.J. John, Advocates, respondent No. 6 is represented through Mr. R.B. Hathikhanavala, Advocate, Respondent Nos. 54-55, 57, 81, 94, 96-97, 132 and 135 are represented through M/s. Legal Options, Advocates, Respondent No.66, 69 are represented through Mr. Manoj Swarup, Advocate, respondent No. 83 is represented through Mr. V.C. Dave, Advocate, respondent No. 79 is represented through Mr. Rashmikumar M. Vithalni, Advocate, Respondent No. 99 is represented through Mr. P.S Sudheer, Advocate, respondent Nos. 103, 104 is represented through Mr. Manoj Kumar Mishra, Advocate, respondent No. 106 is represented through Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 115, 18, 121, 122, 124 and 127 are represented through Ms. Bina Gupta, Advocate, Respondent No. 154 is represented Mr. Sudhir Nandrajog, Advocate and respondent No. 158 is represented through Mr. Irshad Hanif, Advocate. Certificate of service has been received in respect of rest of the Respondents.

It is further submitted that M/s. P.S. Sudhir, P.N. Suri and Jatin Zaveri, Advocates have filed counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents and the same has been included in paper books.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4743 OF 2006

It is submitted that there are 21 respondents in the matter above mentioned. Respondent Nos.2,6, 7, 15, 16 and 18 are represented through M/s. Legal Options, Mr. T Mahipal, Mr. Anurbhag Kumar Sinha, Mr. Rauf Rahim and Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta Advocates and Respondent Nos. 1, 3 to 5, 8 to 14, 17, 19 to 21 are served with Notice of Lodgement of petition to appeal but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far.

Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 had filed affidavit.

Service is complete in both the appeals.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Honb'le Court with this Office report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. B.V Desai, Advocates

Mr. Jatin Zaveri, Advocate

M/s. K.J. John & Co, Advocate

Mr. R.B. Hathikhanavala, Advocate

M/s. Legal Options, Advocate

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON:
BEFORE LD. COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.10852 AND 10853 OF 2011

NIVRATI VITHALRAO KAMBLE

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court on 07.12.2011 was the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

**"Leave granted.
Interim order to continue."**

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10852 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are three respondents in this matter and all the respondents are represented through Mr. Nishant R Katneshwarkar, Advocate. Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of Case in the matter above-mentioned so far.

Service of complete.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10853-54 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are 11 respondents in Civil Appeal No. 10853 of 2011. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are represented through Mr. Nishant R Kanteshwarkar, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 5, 7 and 10 deleted from the array of parties vide this Court order dated 21.10.2009. Respondent Nos. 6, 8, 9 and 11 are served at SLP stage but no one has filed vakalatnama on their behalf so far.

It is further submitted that there are 17 respondents in Civil Appeal No. 10854 of 2011. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are represented through Mr. Nishant R Kantneshwarkar, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 10 and 13 are deleted from the array of parties vide this Court's Order dated 21.10.2009. Respondent Nos. 5 to 9, 11, 12, 14, 16 and 17 are served at SLP stage but no one has filed vakalatnama on their behalf so far.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case in both the matter above-mentioned so far.

Service is complete in both the matter above-mentioned.

The matter above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this Office report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., Advocates

Ms. Asha G. Nair, Advocate

Mr. C.G. Solshe, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON:
BEFORE COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3206 OF 2007

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

V. RAMAKRISHNAN

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that the sole Respondent has been served with the notice at the SLP stage but he has not chosen to enter appearance so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Bharat Sangal, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON:
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION LD. COURT NO:
ITEM NO:
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9998-10009 OF 2011

AMRUTBHAI SOMABHAI PATEL & ORS.
ETC. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER & ORS.
OFFICE REPORT

...RESPONDENTS

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 11th November, 2011, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"The application for deletion is
allowed.

Leave granted.

The parties may file additional
documents within a period of two months."

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in the matter above-mentioned. Both the respondents are represented through Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case in the matter above-mentioned so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar with this office report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-
Mr. Radhavendra S Srivatsa, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON :
COURT NO :
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2437 OF 2007

IIND LEVEL MM OFFICER OIL & NATURAL
GAS CORP.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

GIRISH A MITHAIWALA

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that the sole Respondent is represented by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate.

Service is complete.

The appeal above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mr. K.R Sasiprabhu, Advocate

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON :
COURT NO :
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3682 OF 2006
WITH
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3686 OF 2006
WITH
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6394 OF 2009

PREMIUM GLOBAL SECURITTIES
PVT. LTD. & ORS. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE BOARD
OF INDIA & ANR. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3682 AND 3686 OF 2006

It is submitted that there are two common respondents in the appeals above-mentioned. Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate is representing Respondent No. 1 and Respondent No. 2 has been served with the notice by way of dasti service at the SLP stage but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6394 OF 2009

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19th October, 2009, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order :

"Issue notice.

Tag with C.A. No. 3682/2006."

It is submitted that there is sole respondent in the appeal above-mentioned and is represented through Mr. B.V Desai, Advocate.

Service is complete in all the matters.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 17th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-
M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocate
Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6

(Application for directions filed by M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocates)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 7

(Application for directions filed by Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5514 OF 2012

RAZIA AMIRALI SHROFF & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

M/S.J NISHUVI CORPORATION & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Applications above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18.03.03.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Put up these applications before the same Bench (Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.Y. Eqbal and Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph) who has heard the Civil Appeal No. 5514 of 2012, as and when the Bench is constituted.

It is submitted that Civil Appeal above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 08.04.2015 alongwith connected Civil Appeal No. 7732 of 2011 when the Court while pronouncing its Judgment was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. Y. Eqbal pronounced the Reportable Judgment of the Bench comprising of His Lordship and Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kurian Joseph. Delay in filing substitution application for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent no.2 in SLP(C) No.24880 of 2012, is condoned.

The substitution application filed in SLP(C) No.24880 of 2012 for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent no.2 is allowed.

Cause titled be amended accordingly.

Leave granted.

These appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed reportable judgment."

[the judgment is reported in 2015(6) SCC 412]

It is further submitted that Mr. P.H Parekh, Advocate has also filed Review Petition No. 2246 of 2015 in Civil Appeal No. 5514 of 2012 which is pending for

circulation before the Hon'ble Judges.

The applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 17th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-
M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocate
Mr. Shivaji M Jadhav, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON :
COURT NO :
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6394 OF 2009

M/S. MLB CAPITAL(P)LTD

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19th October, 2009, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order :

“Issue notice.

Tag with C.A. No. 3682/2006.”

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate is representing sole Respondent.

Service of notice is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 17th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Advocate

Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1
(Application for early hearing)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3370 OF 2007

MAHARASHTRA STATE ELEC. DISTRN.
CO. LTD.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

APPELLATE AUTHORITY & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that there are two Respondents in this matter above-mentioned. Respondent No. 2 is represented through Ms. Anagha S Desai, Advocate. Respondent No.1 has been served with Notice of lodgment petition of appeal but no one has entered appearance so far.

It is further submitted that Ms. Anagha S Desai, Advocate for Respondent No. 2 has filed an application for early hearing and the same has been registered as Interlocutory Application No. 1.

Service is complete.

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 17th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-
Mr. Ajit S Bhasme, Advocate
Ms. Angha A Desai., Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5275 OF 2006

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

ICAP INDIA PVT. LTD.

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application No. 4(Application for directions) in the matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 09.03.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"IA No. 4 has been filed by the respondent in Civil Appeal No. 5275 of 2006. The appeal is preferred by the Securities & Exchange Board of India, aggrieved by the judgment dated 14.8.2006 passed by the Securities Appellate Tribunal, Mumbai. By virtue of the said order under appeal, the appellant is liable to refund certain amounts to the applicant herein.

When the instant appeal is preferred, the appellant had also preferred an application seeking stay of the operation of the impugned order dated 14.8.2006. In the said application, the appellant submitted as follows:-

"It is respectfully submitted that for the grounds set out in the Memo of Appeal the impugned Order is erroneous in law as well as on facts and is liable to be set aside. The Appellant submits that the appellant has a very strong prima facie case in its favour. Moreover, it is to be noted that if the impugned order is allowed to remain, the appellant SEBI will have to refund Rs. 2,42,23,762/- to the respondent. And again, it may lead to more litigations in the same fashion and the approximate amount that will be required to be refunded to various brokers will be more than Rs. 50 crores, being the amount of fees collected as fees from the stock broker dealing in WDM segment. As such the balance of convenience also lies in favour of the appellants. The respondents have already paid the amount."

However, the said application for ad interim relief was dismissed as not pressed by an order of this Court dated 30.7.2007.

The grievance of the applicant in the instant application is that inspite of such rejection of the interlocutory application, the appellant is not refunding the amount due to the applicant. According to the applicant, the amount due as on the date of the filing of the application for ad interim ex-parte stay dated 20.10.2006 is Rs. 2,42,23,762/-. Apart from that, another Rs. 50 lakhs was admittedly paid by the applicant pursuant to interlocutory order of the Appellate Tribunal dated 6th December, 2004.

Heard learned Attorney General appearing for the appellant.

In the circumstances, we deem it appropriate to direct the appellant to deposit an amount of Rs. 2,90,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores and Ninety Lakhs only) into this Court within a period of six weeks from today.

On such deposit, the amount can be withdrawn by the applicant on furnishing bank guarantee for the said amount.

If such deposit is made, list the appeal itself for hearing immediately after summer vacation.

If for any reason, the applicant does not come forward with the bank guarantee for withdrawing the amount to be deposited by the appellant within a period of six weeks from the date of the deposit by the appellant, the Registry shall deposit the said amount in a fixed deposit account of an nationalised Bank until further orders. IA disposed of."

It is further submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid order, the counsel for the appellant has on 13.04.2015 deposited an amount of Rs. 2,90,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores and Ninety Lakhs only) with the Registry and the above-said amount has been invested in a fixed deposit account and its date of maturity is on 30.11.2015.

Thereafter Interlocutory Application No. 5(Application for directions) filed by M/s.Khaitan & Co., Advocates for respondent was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 27.07.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Heard Learned Counsel for Applicant.
We find no ground for interference.
I.A. No.5 of 2015 is dismissed."

It is submitted for the information of Hon'ble Court that there is sole respondent

in the matter above mentioned and M/s. Khaitan & Co., Advocates have filed vakalatnama and appearance on the behalf of sole respondent.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 17th day of August,2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate

M/s. Khaitan & Co., Advocates

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX

IN THE SUREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.633 AND 1189 OF 2008

MANAGING DIRECTOR, GOA-IDC

..APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SADASHIV S.N. SARDESSAI & ORS.

..RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application (Application for vacating the order granting stay) in Civil Appeal No. 633 of 2008 above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 09.04.2012 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Since stay order is in force from 4th January, 2005, we are not inclined to vacate the same at this juncture. However, considering the grievance expressed by the respondents-land owners, we intend to dispose of the appeal itself at an early date.

Post the appeal for final disposal on 25th July, 2012."

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 633 OF 2008

It is submitted for the information for the Hon'ble Court that there are three Respondents in the matter. Mr. C.S. Ashri, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 (Lrs. Nos. 2(i) to 2(iii)). Respondent No. 3 has been served with the notice at the SLP Stage but no one has entered appearance on behalf of said Respondent so far.

Service is complete.

Counsel for both the parties have filed statement of case.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1189 OF 2008

It is submitted that there are two respondents in this matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. V.N Raghupathy, Advocate and Respondent No. 2 has been served with the notice at the SLP Stage but no one has entered appearance on behalf of said respondent so far.

Service is complete.

Counsel for the Respondent has not filed statement of case.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 18th day of August 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Advocate.
2. Mr. C.S Ashri, Advocate.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX

IN THE SUREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1640 OF 2008

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4

(Application for permission to file additional documents)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5

(Application for exemption from filing Official translation)

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

..APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

DHARMA KONDIBA THORAT

..RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that the sole Respondent is represented through Mr. Wajeesh Shafiq, Advocate.

It is submitted that the counsel for the respondent has filed additional documents with applications for permission to file additional documents and exemption from filing Official translation and the same have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 4 and 5 and the same are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service is complete.

Counsel for both the parties have filed statement of case.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 17th day of August 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Mr. Nishant R Katneshwarkar, Advocate.
2. Mr. Wajeeh Shafiq, Advocate.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON:
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION LD. COURT NO:
ITEM NO:
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10519 AND 10520 OF 2011

KHANDOBA PRASANNA SAKHAR
KARKHANA LD. & ANR.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 2nd December, 2011 when the Court was passed the following Order:

**"Leave granted.
The prayer for interim stay is rejected."**

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10519 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are seven respondents in this matter. Respondent No. 6 is represented through M/s. J.S. Wad & Co., Advocates. Respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and 7 are served through High Court but no one has filed Vakalatnama and appearance on their behalf so far.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

Service is complete.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10520 OF 2011

It is submitted that there are six respondents in this matter. Respondent No. 5 is represented through M/s. J.S. Wad & Co., Advocates. Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are served through High Court but no one has filed vakalatnama and appearance on their behalf so far. unserved notice on behalf of Respondent No. 6 is received from High Court with bailiffs remark "Respondent No. 6 was not found since address is incomplete." In spite of this registry's letter dated 15.07.2015. Counsel for the appellant has not furnished the latest and correct address of Respondent No. 6 so far. Although service is complete on behalf of common Respondent No. 6 in main connected matter Civil Appeal No. 10519 of 2011.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

Service on behalf of Respondent No. 6 is not completed.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 17th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mr. Abhijit P Medh, Advocate

Mr. J.S Wad & CO., Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON:
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION LD. COURT NO:
ITEM NO:
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6124 OF 2011

NARAYAN SITARAM BADWAIK

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

BISARAM & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 07th July, 2015, when the following Order was passed:

"Appellant was to file application for substituting the legal representatives of the deceased respondent nos. 2 and 5 but application has been filed for substituting the legal representatives of the appellant. Ld. counsel for the appellant hereby to clarify and also to file the relevant documents, if any, in this respect. Be filed within four weeks.
List again on 17.8.2015."

It is submitted that the petitioner has expired. Counsel for the appellant has filed an application for substitution to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased appellant but the same is defective for the reason that the legal representative Nos. 2 and 5 of the deceased appellant are the same person but the counsel for the appellant has not clarified the same so far.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 14th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mrs. B Sunita Roa, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

WEEKLY MATTER
COURT NO. :
ITEM NO. :

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 922 OF 2004

DINKAR YASHWANT PATIL (D)THROUGH LRS.	...APPELLANTS
-VERSUS-	
KISANLAL MANIKLAL RATHI	...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that application for restoration in the matter was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18th October, 2013 when the Court was pleased to restore the Civil Appeal. (Copy of the order is included in the paper-books.)

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that there is a sole respondent in this appeal and is represented through Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Advocate.

It is lastly submitted that pursuant to aforesaid Order counsel for the appellants has filed the proof of payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only).

Original Record has been received.

Service is complete.

The appeal above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 14th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Advocate

Ms. Anagha S. Desai, Advocate

Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ap

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2506 OF 2015

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015

(Applications for condonation of delay in filing the review petition)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2

(Application for hearing the Review Petition in open Court)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7732 OF 2011

FORESHORE CO-OP. HOUSING SOCIETY LTD.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

PRAVEEN D DESAI (D) TH.LRS. & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that Mr. Arvind Minocha, Advocate has filed above mentioned review petition to review the Order of this Hon'ble Court dated 08.04.2015 . There is a delay of 85 days in filing the review petition and counsel have also filed an application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition which has been registered as interlocutory application No.1 and the Counsel has also filed application for hearing the Review Petition in open Court which has been registered as Interlocutory Application No. 2.

It is further submitted that appeal paper books have been weeded out after due preservation. However, counsel has filed fresh appeal paper books.

The review petition along with applications above-mentioned are circulated before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 13th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr.Arvind Minocha, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2506 OF 2015
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition)
AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2
(Application for hearing the Review Petition in open Court)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7732 OF 2011

FORESHORE CO-OP. HOUSING SOCIETY LTD.	...APPELLANT
-VERSUS-	
PRAVEEN D DESAI (D) TH.LRS. & ORS.	...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT ON LIMITATION

The Review Petition above mentioned has been filed by Mr. Arvind Minocha, Advocate and there is delay of 85 days in filing the same.

Dated this the 13th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

SECTION-IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON:
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION COURT NO:
ITEM NO:

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.1

(Application for clarification/modification of Court order dated 10.02.2015)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2

(Application for exemption from filing official translation)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3135 OF 2008

RAJARAM GOPAL MHASKAR & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

MOTIRAM JAGANNATH BHOIR & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Civil Appeal No. 3135 of 2008 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 10.02.2015 when the Court was pleased to disposed of the same (Copy of the signed order dated 10.02.2015 is included at Page Nos. 10-12 of the I.A paper books)

It is further submitted that Mr. S.M Jadhav, Advocate for Respondent No. 1 has filed an application for clarification/modification of Court's Order dated 10.02.2015 passed by the Hon'ble Court and exemption from filing official translation and the same have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 1 and 2.

It is lastly submitted that the original appeal paper books have been weeded out as per Rules after due preservation and the counsel has furnished the fresh appeal paper books.

The applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

- 1 Ms. Manisha T Karia , Advocate
- 2 Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON:

COURT NO. :

ITEM NO. :

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1247 OF 2012
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3
(Application for directions)
AND
INTERLCOUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4
(Application for directions)

M/S. TALAULICAR & SONS PVT. LTD.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application for directions (Interlocutory Application No. 3) in the Civil Appeal above-mentioned filed by counsel for the appellant was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 1st November, 2013, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"I.A. NO. 3 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 1247 OF 2012

**As requested, list the application along with the
main matter on 21st January, 2014."**

Thereafter Interlocutory Application No. 4 (Application for directions) filed by counsel for the appellant was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 10th July, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Issue notice by speed post returnable
on 07th September, 2015.**

**Reply to the I.A. be filed within two
weeks from the date of service.**

**List the application along with main
matter."**

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Notice to both the respondents through their respective counsel has been issued but no reply to the I.A. has been filed.

It is further submitted that the Counsel for appellant has again filed an

application for directions. The same is registered as Interlocutory Application No. 4 and placed alongwith appeal paper books.

It is lastly submitted that there are two respondent in this matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. B.K. Prasad and Respondent No. 2 is represented by Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Advocate.

Service of notice is complete.

Statement of case has been filed by both the parties.

The application in the matter above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 15th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Advocate
2. Mr. B.K. Prasad, Advocate
3. Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2268-2298 OF 2013

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 32-62

(Application for directions filed by Mr. V.K. Sidharthan, Advocate for respondents)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 63-93

(Application for impleadment filed by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5080 OF 2013

DISTT. COLLECTOR & ORS. ETC. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

P.M.SHIKH & ANR. ETC. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 17th March, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The final hearing could not be concluded.

Let the matters be placed on the next date.

In the meantime, the appellants shall not proceed with the matter under Section 122 of the Bombay Tenancy and Agricultural Lands (Vidarbha region and Kutch) Area Act, 1958.

It further goes without saying that the parties shall maintain status quo.

We have heard Mr. R.P. Bhatt, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants in the impleadment applications.

Mr. R.P. Bhatt, learned senior counsel is permitted to assist the Court and make written submissions."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. E.C. Agrawala, learned counsel for the proposed impleading parties has not filed any written submission so far.

Service is complete in Civil Appeal Nos. 2268-2298 of 2013.

Service is awaited on Legal representatives of deceased Respondent No. 5 in Civil Appeal No. 5080 of 2013.

The matters alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office report.

Dated this the 13th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Aparna Bhatt, Advocate
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate
Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Advocate
Mr. V.K. Sidharthan, Advocate
Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate
M/s. Layers Knit & Co., Advocates
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 1479, 1487, 1489, 1484, 1485, 1482, 1483, 1481, 1488, 1486, 1480 OF 2006

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 5-6 IN C.A. NO. 1480 OF 2006

(Applications for substitution and condonation of delay in filing substitution filed by Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7-8 IN C.A. NO. 1480 OF 2006

(Applications for substitution and condonation of delay in filing substitution filed by Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7-8 IN C.A. NO. 1489 OF 2006

(Application for substitution to bring on record the Lrs. of deceased appellant No. 6 and condonation of delay in filing substitution filed by Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3 AND 4 IN C.A. NO. 1485 OF 2006

(Application for substitution to bring on record the Lrs. of deceased appellant and condonation of delay in filing substitution filed by Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate)

IN

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. IN 7,6, 2, 4, 5 ,10, 7,8 AND 9 RESPECTIVELY

(Applications for permission to file additional documents filed by Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate in C.A Nos. 1480, 1481, 1482, 1483, 1484, 1486, 1487, 1488, 1489 of 2006)

DIVYAGNAKUMARI HARISINH PARMAR & ORS. ETC. ETC. ...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Civil Appeals above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 26.02.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Heard in part.

Learned counsel for the appellants has filed an application seeking permission to file additional grounds. Let it be registered. A copy of the said application be supplied to the learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

Five weeks time is granted to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to file reply to the said application.

Put up these matters on 9th April, 2015."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. S.M. Jadhav,

counsel for the appellant has filed additional documents with common application for permission to file common additional documents in Civil Appeal Nos. 1480, 1481, 1482, 1483, 1484, 1486, 1487, 1488, 1489 of 2006 and the same have been included in the paper books

It is further submitted that the counsel for the respondents has not filed reply to the applications so far.

Service is complete.

The Civil Appeals alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 13th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 1 TO 3
(Application for directions)

AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.4
(Applicaiton for Directioins filed by Mr.Ashok Kumar Mahajan, Advocate)

IN
CONTEMPT PETITION(C) NOS. 237-239 OF 2000
AND
CONTEMPT PETITION(C) NOS. 112-113 OF 2013
(Contempt Petition filed by Mr.Manoj K. Mishra, Advocate)

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1
(Applications for permission to file additional affidavit Mr. S.M. Jadhav, erstwhile Advocate for Respondent)

AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 5 TO 7
(Applications for appropriate orders filed by M/s. K.J. John & Co., Advocates for Respondent)

IN
CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NOS. 237-239 OF 2000
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5623-5625 OF 1985

PARAG CONSTRUCTION & PARTNERS

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

BHASKARRAO JADHAV AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS/ALLEGED
CONTEMNORS

OFFICE REPORT FOR DIRECTIONS

The Interlocutory Application and Contempt Petition above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 02.07.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Having heard the learned counsels for the parties and having regard to the totality of the facts of the case, we find no good reason to proceed any further with the contempt petitions or render any order in the I.As filed in the contempt Petitions or render any order in the I.As filed in the contempt petitions. We, accordingly, close all the cases and leave the parties to work out their remedies in

accordance with law."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that earlier a Bank Guarantee amounting to Rs. 50,00,000/-(Fifty Lacs only) was filed in the matter on behalf of M/s. Parag & Constructions, Respondent No. 1.

It is further submitted that Mr Manoj K Mishra, Advocate has filed a letter (Copy enclosed) stating therein to discharge the Bank Guarantee in favour of M/s. Prayag & Co., Advocates. Respondent No. 1.

The office report for directions above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 12th day of August,2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

M/s. Kini & Associates ,Advocates

Mr. A.K. Mahajan, Advocate

Mr. Manoj K Mishra, Advocate

M/s. J.K. John, Advocates

Ms. Meera Mathur, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2

(Application for bringing on record the legal heirs of deceased respondent No. 21(a))

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.3

(Application for condonation of delay in filing application for bringing on record the legal heirs
of deceased respondent No. 21(a))

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4

(Application for setting aside the abatement)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8254 OF 2009

SANJAY Z RANE & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

SAIBAI S DUBAXI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application Nos. 2 and 3 were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19.01.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"At the request of learned counsel for the appellants, two weeks' time is granted for filing an application for setting aside abatement."

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Ms. Soma Sundaram, Advocate for the appellant has filed application for setting aside the abatement and the same is registered as Interlocutory Application No. 4.

Service is complete.

The applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 12th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Ms. Soma Sundaram, Advocate

Mr. Jayant Mohan, Advocates

Ms. Pooja Dhar, Advocate

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5859 AND 5865 OF 2006

M/S. BIOTECH LTD.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there is sole Respondent in the appeals above mentioned and the sole respondent is represented through M/s. K.J. John & Co., Advocate in both the appeals.

Service is complete.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 12th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate

M/s. K.J. John & Co., Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA LISTED ON:
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION COURT NO:
ITEM NO:
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.1
(Application for clarification of the Court's Order dated 13.04.2015)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3688 OF 2015

JAHEEDABEE BEGUM
BASHIR AHMEDABAD & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

VICE CHANCELLOR, MARATHWADA
AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY PARBHANI & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that the instant Civil Appeal has been disposed of by this Hon'ble Court on 13.04.2015 (copy of order dated 13.04.2015 is marked as Annexure A-5 at page Nos. 25-26 in the I.A paper books.)

It is further submitted that Dr. R R Deshpande, Advocate for the respondent has filed an Application on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 for clarification of the Order dated 13.04.2015 passed by this Hon'ble and same is registered as Interlocutory Application No.1 and is listed before the Hon'ble Court.

It is further submitted that the counsel has also given a letter dated 07.08.2015 (copy enclosed) regarding maintainability of the above application.

It is lastly submitted that the original appeal paper books have been weeded out as per Rules after due permission and the counsel has furnished the fresh appeal paper books.

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 12th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

- 1 Mr. P.N. Puri , Advocate
- 2 Mr. R R Deshpande, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 243 AND 319 OF 2007
RAMKRISHNA SEKSARIA ETC. ...APPELLANTS
-VERSUS-

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA & ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned alongwith connected matter was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 04.12.2007 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Delink C.A Nos. 243 of 2007 and 319 of 2007.

Heard Mr. C.A. Sundaram and Mr. Shyam Divan, learned senior Counsel for the appellants and Mr. Atlaf Ahmed, learned senior counsel for the respondent.

Hearing concluded. Judgment reserved.

Written submissions to be filed waithin a week."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are two common Respondents in the matters above mentioned. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate in both the matters and respondent No. 2 has been served with Notice of Lodgement of Petition to Appeal but no one has entered appearance so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. M.K. Michael, Advocate for the appellant in Civil Appeal No. 319 of 2007 has expired and accordingly Notice for Alternative arrangement was issued. A.D Card duly signed has been received but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service is complete in both the matters.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 18th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. P Ramesh Kumar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1189 OF 2008

SHRI SADASHIV S.N. SARDESSAI & ANR.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, GOA IDC & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are two Respondents in the matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Advocate and Respondent No. 2 has been served with the notice at the SLP Stage but no one has entered appearance on behalf of said Respondent so far.

Service is complete.

Counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case and the same is included in the paper books.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. V.R. Anumolu, Advocate

Mr. C.S Ashri, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON
BEFORE COURT NO.
ITEM NO.

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (Civil) NO. 519 OF 2015

IN

CONTEMPT PETITION (Civil) NO. 526 OF 2013

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7516 OF 2005

VIRENDRA KUMAR & ORS.

...APPLICANTS

-VERSUS-

SHAKTI KANT DAS & ORS.

... ALLEGED CONTEMNORS

OFFICE REPORT

The Contempt Petition (C) No. 526 of 2013 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 09.05.2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 2 has invited this Court's attention to paragraph 2(xv) of the counter affidavit, which depicts that recommendations have been received from a Committee constituted for implementing the judgment rendered by this Court on 27.11.2012, and that based on the above recommendations, a draft tentative seniority list has been prepared and circulated. It is further submitted that objections to the above tentative seniority list has since been received from the concerned employees, and that the same would be taken into consideration in preparing the final seniority list in consonance with the judgment dated 27.11.2012. To finalise the seniority list, learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 2 seeks four weeks' time.

In view of the explanation tendered by the learned counsel representing respondent nos. 1 and 2, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to take into consideration the objections filed by the employees concerned, so as to implement the order passed by this Court on 27.11.2012. Needless to mention, that respondent nos. 1 and 2 shall be personally responsible, for ensuring compliance of the undertaking given to this Court, within the time specified.

Contempt petition stands disposed of in the

above terms.

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, learned senior counsel submits that the application for intervention filed by his clients may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to avail other remedies, in accordance with law. We order accordingly."

It is for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Abhay Kumar, Advocate has filed Contempt Petition for disobedience of this Hon'ble Court's Orders dated 27.1.2012 and 09.05.2014 and the same has been registered as Contempt Petition (C) No. 519 of 2015.

It is further submitted that the paper books on which the Civil Appeal and Contempt Petition Nos. 526 of 2013 were heard, have been weeded out after due preservation and the advocate has filed fresh paper books.

The Contempt Petition in the matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office Report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:
Mr. Abhay Kumar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 1010-1031 OF 2008

SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

THAKOR RATNAJI PADHAJI & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are twenty three Respondents in the appeals above-mentioned and all are represented through Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Advocate.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case and the same is included in the paper books.

Service is complete.

The appeals above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate

Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 361 OF 2007

SEBI

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

Burren Energy India Ltd.

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 09th February, 2007 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Appeal admitted.
Impleadment allowed.
Tag with Civil Appeal No. 242 of 2007."**

It is submitted that there are four Respondents in this matter above-mentioned. Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented through M/s. Suresh A Shroff & Co, Advocates. The name of Respondent No. 3 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's order dated 29th April, 2008. Respondent No. 4 has been impleaded as party respondent vide Court's Order dated 28th July, 2008 and is represented through Mr. T Anil Kumar, Advocate.

It is further submitted that Civil Appeal No. 242 of 2007 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 14th March, 2008 and the same was dismissed as withdrawn.(A copy of signed order is enclosed)

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate

Mr. Suresh A Shroff, Advocate

Mr. T Anil Kumar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5173 OF 2006 AND 186 OF 2007

NATIONAL SECURITIES
DEPOSITORY LTD. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

S.E.B.I. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5173 OF 2006

It is submitted that there is sole Respondent in this matter and is represented through Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 186 OF 2007

It is submitted that there is sole Respondent in this matter and is represented through Ms. Bina Gupta, Advocate.

Service is complete in both the matters.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Bina Gupta, Advocate

Mr. B.V Desai, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON

BEFORE COURT NO.

ITEM NO.

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 516 OF 2015

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4410 OF 2014

SHAILENDRA & ORS.

...PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

THE COMMISSIONER OF STATE EXCISE & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 6445 of 2014 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 04.04.2014 when the Court was pleased to grant leave and allowed the appeal. (A copy of Order dated 04.04.2014 is included at Page Nos. 22 to 26 in Contempt Petition paper books.)

It is further submitted that Dr. S.K. Verma, Advocate has filed contempt Petition for violation of the Order dated 04.04.2014 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Civil Appeal No. 4410 of 2014 arising out of Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 6445 of 2014 and the same has been registered as Contempt Petition(Civil) No. 516 of 2015 and placed with appeal paper books.

It is further submitted that the counsel for the petitioners has made Shri. Ganesh Naik, Hon'ble Minister of Exercise, Department of State Excise Mantralaya, Maharashtra as alleged contemnor No. 1 in the above mentioned Contempt Petition.

It is lastly submitted that the original appeal paper books have been weeded out as per Rules after due preservation and the counsel has furnished the fresh appeal paper books.

The Contempt Petition in the matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office Report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Dr. S.K. Verma, Advocate

Mr. Nirmimesh Dube, Advocate

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1862 OF 2014

MMTC. LTD.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

M/S. STERLITE INDUSTRIES
(INDIA) LTD.

... RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 24th April, 2015, when the following Order was passed:

"The learned counsel for the appellants shall file the statement of case within a period of 45 days and the learned counsel for the respondents shall file the same within a period of 35 days thereafter.

List again on 13.08.2015"

It is submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case in the matter above-mentioned so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office Report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Surekha Raman, Advocate

Mr. Sanjay R Hedge, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON
BEFORE COURT NO.
ITEM NO.

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (Civil) NO. 292 OF 2015

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6117 OF 2014

SURESH LAXMAN PATIL,
PRESIDENT, BHUSWAL MUNICIPAL
PRENSIONERS ASSOCIATION

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

BHARAT RATHOD, CHIEF OFFICER & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 20th July, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"In terms of the letter circulated by learned counsel for the respondent nos. 1 and 4, let the matter be listed after four weeks to enable him to file counter affidavit."

It is submitted that in view of letter circulated, Mr. Udai B Dube, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of alleged Contemnor No. 5 and counter affidavits on behalf of alleged contemnor Nos.1, 4 and 5 and the same have been included in the Contempt Petition paper books.

Service is complete.

The Contempt Petition in the matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office Report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:
Mr. Uday B Dube, Advocate
Mr. Aniruddha P Mayee, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 1858-1861 OF 2014

DEVENDRA RAGHURAJ DESHPRABHU & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

APARNA RAJENDRA DESHPRABHU & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 24th April, 2015, when the following Order was passed:

"The learned counsel for the appellants shall file the statement of case within a period of 45 days and the learned counsel for the respondents shall file the same within a period of 35 days thereafter.

List again on 13.08.2015"

It is submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case in the matter above-mentioned so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office Report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. E.C..Agrawala, Advocate

Mr. A Raghunath, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON
BEFORE LD.REGISTRAR NO.
ITEM NO.

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 185 OF 2014

JANKBAI & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

BHIMRAO (D) TR. LRS. & ANR.

... RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 24th April, 2015, when the following Order was passed:

"The learned counsel for the appellants shall file the statement of case within a period of 45 days and the learned counsel for the respondents shall file the same within a period of 35 days thereafter.

List again on 13.08.2015."

It is submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case in the matter above-mentioned so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office Report.

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. R.K. Adsure, Advocate

Mr. Debasis Misra, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 1978 AND 2742 OF 2014

ANIL BAPURAO CHIDDARWAR

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 24th April, 2015, when the following Order was passed:

"The learned counsel for the appellant shall file the statement of case within a period of 45 days. The learned counsel for the respondents shall file the same within a period of 35 days thereafter.

List again on 13.08.2015."

It is submitted that the Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case in both the matters above-mentioned so far.

Service is complete in both the matters.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with the report.

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Advocate

Mr. Nishant R Katneswarkar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 821-825 OF 2009

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 21-25

(Applications for stay filed by Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Advocate for appellant)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 36-40

(Applications for vacating the order dated 07.09.2012 filed by Mr. Jay Savla, Advocate for respondent.)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 26-30 & 51-55

(Applications for substitution of deceased respondents and exemption from filing official translations)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 31-35 & 56-60

(Applications for amendment of cause title and exemption from filing official translation)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 61-65 & 66-70

(Applications for permission to file additional documents and application for exemption from filing official translation filed by Mr. Jay Salva, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 71-75

(Applications for permission to file response to the commissioner's Report filed by Mr. Jay Salva, Advocate)

VASANT BALU PATIL & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

MOHAN HARACHAND SHAH & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The interlocutory application Nos. 21-25 and 36-40 (Applications for stay and vacating the Order dated 07.09.2012) in appeals above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18th November, 2011, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order :

“The District Judge, Raigad, Alibag, Maharashtra has prayed for six months further time to comply the Commission's work entrusted to him and to submit his report. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the case on which the District Judge is dealing with assignment is very slow and that a direction could be issued to him to expedite the submission of the report.

In the circumstances and keeping in view the fact that the order passed by this Court as far back as on 25.03.2014, we grant to the District Judge six months' further time to complete the work entrusted to him and to submit a report. We will appreciate that the District Judge shall submit an interim report by 31.12.2014 and a final report by the end of March, 2015.

List the appeals in the month of April, 2015.”

It is submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid Order Mr. S.M. Modak, Commissioner and Principal District Judge, Raigad, Alibagh has submitted its interim as well as final report and the same are available in Court Room for kind persual of the Hon'ble Court.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellants has filed an application for substitution in respect of respondent Nos. 12 to 15 with application for exemption from filing official translation and an application for amendment of cause title with an application for exemption from filing official translation. The same are registered as interlocutory application Nos. 26-30, 51-55 and 31-35, 56-60 respectively and placed alongwith appeal paper books.

It is lastly submitted that Mr. Jay Salva, Advocate for the respondent No. 1 has filed Applications for permission to file additional documents and application for exemption from filing official translation and the same have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 61-65 and 66-70. He Has also filed Applications for permission to file response to the commissioner's Report and the same have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 71-75 and the same are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service is complete.

The appeal alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Abha R. Sharma, Advocate

Mr. Jay Savla, Advocate

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

LISTED ON
BEFORE COURT NO.
ITEM NO.

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10667 OF 2010

GOPINATH & ;ORS.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

CHINDHYA & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld.Registrar Court on 11th May, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

**"Correct and fresh address on respondent No. 4
has yet not been filed by the petitioner. Be filed
within four weeks' time.**

**Notice thereafter be issued.
List again on 11.5.2015"**

It is submitted that pursuant to the above Order counsel for the appellant has not filed latest and correct address of respondent No. 4 so far hence notice could not be issued.

Service is incomplete on respondent No. 4.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with the report.

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr.Shivaji M. Jadhav, Advocate

Mr. Venkateswara Ral Anumolu, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON
COURT NO. :
ITEM NO. :

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEAL AT THE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1748 OF 2015
AND
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1749 OF 2015

MUNICIPAL CORP. OF GREATER
MUMBAI & ANR.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

NATVAR PARIKH AND CO. PVT. LTD.
AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

Special Leave Petitions were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 03.02.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

Put up in the month of July, 2015 for final disposal."

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1748 OF 2015

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that there are two respondents. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Ms. Bindi Girish Dave, Advocate. Notice of lodgment of petition of appeal was sent to High Court for service on Respondent No. 2 but certificate of service is awaited.

Service of notice is incomplete.

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1749 OF 2015

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that there are four respondents. Respondent No. 3 is represented by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate. Notice of lodgment of petition of appeal was sent to High Court for service on Respondent Nos. 1,2 and 4 but certificate of service is awaited.

Service of notice is incomplete.

The matter above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to-
M/s. J.S. Wad & Co., Advocate
Ms. Bindi Girish Dave, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON 11.08.2015
COURT NO. : 10
ITEM NO. : 114

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEAL AT THE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2107 OF 2006

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.4

(Application for stay filed by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1849 OF 2006

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3

(Application for direction filed by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4

(Application for permission to file additional documents in I.A No. 3 filed by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate)

IN

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5

(Application for permission to file additional affidavit in I.A No. 3 filed by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6

(Application for stay filed by Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate)

FEROZE HOMI DUGGAN

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

BENZER INTERIOR PVT. LTD. & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2107 OF 2006

It is submitted that there are ten Respondents in this matter. Respondent Nos. 1 and 10 are represented through Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate, Respondent No.7 is represented through Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5,8 and 9 have been served with notice at the SLP Stage but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far. The name of Respondent No. 6 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 10.02.2006.

It is further submitted that Mr. E.C Agrawala, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 10 has filed application for stay and the same is registered as I.A. No. 4.

It is lastly submitted that Mr. S.R. Setia, erstwhile Advocate for Respondent No. 7 has filed affidavit in reply to the I.A. filed by Respondent No.1

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1849 OF 2006

It is submitted that there are twelve respondent in this matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mr. Anil Kumar Mishra, Advocate, Respondent No. 2 is represented through Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate, Respondent No. 8 is represented through Ms. Purnima Bhat Kak, Advocate, Respondent No. 12 is represented through Mr. S. Prasad, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 3 to 7 and 9 to 11 have been served with the notice at the SLP stage but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate for the appellant has filed application for stay which is registered as I.A. No. 6. Mr. S.R. Setia, erstwhile Advocate has filed affidavit in reply to the application for stay and the same is included in paper books. Mr. Subramaniam Prasad, Advocate has also filed affidavit in reply of Court receiver in I.A. No. 3. Mr. S.R. Setia, erstwhile Advocate has filed Counter affidavit in I.A. No. 3.

Service is complete in both the matters.

The matter above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to-

Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate

Mr. S. R. Setia, Advocate

Ms. Purnima Bhat Kat, Advocate

Mr. S. Prasad, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON 11.08.2015
COURT NO. : 10
ITEM NO. : 110

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEAL AT THE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1012 OF 2005

SOFIYA ABDUL HANNAN KHAN

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that there are four respondents in the appeal above-mentioned. The respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented through Mr. Nishant R Katneshwarkar, Advocate. Respondent No.3 is represented through Ms. Suchitra A. Chitale, Advocate and service of notice is complete on respondent No. 4 but no one has entered vakalatnama/appearance on his behalf so far.

Service is complete.

The appeal above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. P.N. Puri, Advocate

Mr. Nishant R Katneshwarkar, Advocate

Ms. Suchitra A. Chitale, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ap

LISTED ON: 10.08.2015
BEFORE COURT NO.: 9
ITEM NO.:68

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 96-100

(Application for directions filed by Mrs. Sreeja V., Appellant in person)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 101-105

(Application for bringing on record the additional documents and direction filed by
Advocate for the respondent)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 76-80

(Applications to recall and modify Order dated 03.02.2015 in I.A. Nos. 61-65 of 2014 filed
by Appellant-in-person)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 81-85

(Application for directions filed by Advocate for the respondent)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 86-90

(Application for modification of Court's Order dated 12.12.2012 filed by Advocate for the
respondent)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 106-110

(Application for permission to consider additional prayers and documents in Interlocutory
Application Nos. 96-100 filed by Mrs. Sreeja V., Appellant in person))

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2637-2641 of 2013

SREEJA V

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

RAJESH PULIYANKALATH

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory application Nos. 91-95(Application for directions filed by Advocate
for the respondent) and 96-100 (Application for directions filed by Mrs. Sreeja V.,
Appellant in person) with other applications in these matters were listed on 03.07.2015
before this Hon'ble Court, when the Hon'ble Court while disposing of Interlocutory
Application Nos. 91-95 and prayer (a) of Interlocutory Application Nos. 96-100 inter alia
passed the following order:-

`".....Adjourned for consideration of other
prayers.

I.A. Nos. 76-80 filed by the appellant-in-person

We have heard the applicant and have gone
through the applicants.

I.A. Nos. 76-80 to be listed again.”

It is further submitted that Mrs. Sreeja V., Appellant in person has filed an application seeking permission to consider additional prayers and documents in Interlocutory Application Nos. 96-100 of 2015 through e-filing after serving its copy on the other side of Advocate and the same have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 106-110.(Copy of the application is circulated alongwith this report)

The Interlocutory Applications in the matter above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 08th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mrs. Sreeja V., Appellant-in-person
435, 2nd Floor, Pkt B-9, Sector-3,
Rohini- 85, New Delhi.

Mr. Venkita Subramoniam T. R. , Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ap

LISTED ON:
BEFORE COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. 506-507 OF 2015

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.7949-50 OF 2014

KAPSTONE CONSTRUCTION
PRIVATE LIMITED

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SANGRAM JOGDAND, DEPUTY
SUPERINTENDENT LAND RECORDS,
THANE & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The SLP(Civil) Nos. 32977-78 of 2013 were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 08.08.2014 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"Leave granted.

Until further orders interim order dated 8th October, 2013 shall continue and Contempt Petition No. 312 of 2012 in W.P. NO. 840 of 2012 shall remain stayed."

It is submitted that Ms. Bindi Girish Dave, Advocate for the petitioner has filed contempt Petition for violation of the Order dated 08.08.2014 read with Order dated 08.10.2013 passed by this Hon'ble Court and the same have been registered as Contempt Petition (Civil) Nos. 506-507 of 2015 and placed with appeal paper books.

The Contempt Petitions above-mentioned were mentioned before the Hon'ble Court on 05.08.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"Post on Monday, the 10th August, 2015, before an appropriate Bench, subject to removal of all defects."

The Contempt Petitions in the matter above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office Report.

Dated this 7th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Bindi Girish Dave, Advocate

Ms. Asha G Nair, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON:
BEFORE COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLCIATION NO. 2

(Application for directions)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5728 OF 2008

RJAKAMAL BUILDERS

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

AHMEDABAD MUNICIPAL CORPN. AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Civil Appeal above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 17th September, 2008 when the Court while disposing of Civil Appeal in so far as the appellant is concerned interalia passed the following order:-

"...We direct that the amount admittedly due as per the award(the sum of Rs. 13,43,240/- with interest thereon) shall be paid equally (50% each by AMC and ONGC) to the appellant-contractor within three months from today. AMC and the ONGC shall also pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- as costs to the appellant contractor. The appeal is disposed of accordingly in so far as the appellant is concerned.

Such payment will be without prejudice to the rights and contentions inter se between themselves. AMC and ONGC shall endeavour to sort out the dispute between themselves as to who is liable, in them manner stated above. In the event of failure, this Court will consider the question. List the matter after six months to enable AMC and ONGC to report about the results of their efforts of negotiated settlement."

Thereafter the application above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 25th July, 2012 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"List this interlocutory Application for consideration along with Civil Appeal as and

when Civil Appeal is listed for final hearing."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Civil Appeal No. 5728 of 2008 has already been disposed of on 17th September, 2008 by this Hon'ble Court. (Copy of signed Order is enclosed).

It is further submitted that Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate for the respondent has filed affidavit in reply in Interlocutory Application No. 2 and the same is included in the paper books.

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Report.

Dated this the 07th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Advocate
Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate
Mr. Balraj Diwan, Advocate
Mr. B.V Desai, Advocate
Ms. Sheela Goel, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ap

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NOS. 2246 AND 2251 OF 2015
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Applications for condonation of delay in filing both the review petitions)
AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2
(Application for oral Hearing in both matters)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5514-5515 OF 2012

RAZIA AMIRALI SHROFF & ORS.	-VERSUS-	...APPELLANTS
M/S. NISHUVI CORPORATION & ORS.		...RESPONDENTS
<u>OFFICE REPORT</u>		

It is submitted that Mr. P.H. Parekh & Co., Advocates have filed above mentioned review petitions to review the Order of this Hon'ble Court dated 08.04.2015 . There is a delay of 39 days and 74 days in filing the review petitions and counsel have also filed an application for condonation of delay in filing the review petitions which have been registered as interlocutory application No.1 and the Counsel has also filed application for oral Hearing which have been registered as Interlocutory Application No. 2.

It is further submitted that appeal paper books have been weeded out after due preservation. However, counsel has filed fresh appeal paper books.

The review petitions along with applications above-mentioned are circulated before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 07th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:
M/s. P.M. Parekh & Co, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

LISTED ON:
BEFORE COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLCIATION NO. 6
(Application for clarification of Final Order dated 24.03.2015)

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.3977 OF 2008

UNION BANK OF INDIA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

MITALAL N SISHODIYA & 18 ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Civil Appeal above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 24.03.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"We find absolutely no merit in this appeal.

The appellant has occupied the premises well beyond the period of lease which has expired and this has been merely on the strength of the interim order of this Court. We, therefore, dismiss this appeal and vacate all interim orders. However, four months' time from today is granted to the appellant to vacate the suit premises subject to its furnishing the usual undertaking before this Court within two weeks from today, stating that it will not create any third party rights, will clear all the rent in the meanwhile and will peacefully vacate the premises concerned at the end of four months."

It is submitted that Mr. Ravi Shankar, Advocate for the appellant has filed application for clarification of Order dated 24.03.2015 and the same has been registered as Interlocutory Application No. 6.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the appellant has filed affidavit of undertaking.

It is lastly submitted that the paper books of the Civil Appeal have been weeded out after due preservation and the Counsel for the advocate has filed fresh appeal paper books.

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 07th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. S Ravi Shankar, Advocate

Dr. Kailash Chand, Advocate

Mr.T.Karish Kumar, Advocate

Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate

Mr. V.J. Francis, Advocate

dp

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON:
BEFORE COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLCIATION NO. 3
(Application for early hearing)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.10282 OF 2010

SHRIPAD YESHWANT KULKARNI

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

MAHADEO SHANKAR JADHAV
(D) THR. LRS. & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there were two respondents in this matter. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Ms. Chandan ramamurthy, Advocate. Name of Respondent No. 2 has been deleted from the array of parites vide this Hon'ble Court dated 11.09.2013.

It is further lastly submitted that Mr. C.G. Solshe, Advocate for the appellant has filed an application for early hearing which is registered as Interlocutory Application No. 3 and the same is being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service is complete.

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 10th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. C.G Solshe, Advocate

Ms. Chandan Ramamurthy, Advocate

dp

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX LISTED ON:7.08.2015
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA BEFORE LD.REGISTRAR NO.: 1
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION ITEM NO.: 1

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2732 OF 2006

D KUMAR	-VERSUS-	...APPELLANT
THE CUSTODIAN & ORS.		...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that there are three respondents in this matter. Mr. A.K. Tewari, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent no. 1. Respondent No. 2 is served through High court but no one has filed vakalatnama/appearance on his behalf so far.

Notice issued to Respondent No. 3 has been received with Report which is in vernacular language, Counsel for the appellant was requested to furnish the latest and correct address of Respondent No. 3 vide this Registry's letter that 02.12.2009 and subsequent reminder dated 17.09.2011 and 07.02.2013 but he has not furnished the fresh address so far.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

Service on behalf of Respondent No. 3 is not complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this report.

Dated this the 06th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. N Ganpathy, Advocate
Mr. A.K. Tewari, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

dp

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 2245 OF 2015
WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1 OF 2015
(Application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition)
AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2
(Application to hear the review petition in open Court)
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2449 OF 2015

LILAKANT MADKU CHAUDHARI & ORS.	-VERSUS-	...APPELLANTS
RAJENDRA BANSILAL CHOUDHARI & ANR.		...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that Mr. Nishant R Katneshwarkar, Advocate has filed review petition to review the Order of this Hon'ble Court dated 25.02.2015. There is a delay of 109 days in filing the review petition and counsel has also filed an application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition which has been registered as interlocutory application No.1 and the Counsel has also filed application to hear the Review Petition in open Court and the same has been registered as Interlocutory Application No. 2.

It is further submitted that appeal paper books have been weeded out after due preservation. However, counsel has filed fresh appeal paper books.

The review petition along with applications above-mentioned is circulated before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers with this office report.

Dated this the 06th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:
Mr. C.G. Solshe, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3
(Application for Directions)

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1155 OF 2015

CRICKET ASSOCIATION OF BIHAR

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

N. SRINIVASAN & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that the Civil Appeal No. 4235 of 2014 along with connected matters was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 22.01.2015 when the Court was pleased to interalia pass the following Order:-

"...111. We hope and trust that the Committee concludes the proceedings as early as possible, but as far as possible within a period of six months.
112. These appeals shall stand disposed of in the above terms with the direction that the relevant record received from Justice Mudgal Committee shall be forwarded to the Chairman of the newly appointed Committee without any delay...."

It is submitted that Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate for the appellant has filed an application for Directions which is registered as Interlocutory Application No. 3 and placed with appeal paper books. .

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 06th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate

Ms. Radha Rangaswamy, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6

(Application for permission for placing on record subsequent events, for directions and vacating of interim order)

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8543 OF 2009

THE LIFE INSURANCE CORP. OF INDIA ...APPELLANT
-VERSUS-
DRAVYA FINANCE PVT. LTD. & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory application No. 5(Application for modification of undertaking dated 16.04.2008) was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 09.05.2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Let Interlocutory Application be listed for consideration along with main Appeal. It will be open to the appellant to file written response to the Interlocutory Application within eight weeks. Appeal may be posted for hearing in the fourth week of September, 2013."

Thereafter the Interlocutory application No. 5 above-mentioned alongwith Interlocutory Application No. 6 (Application for permission for placing on record subsequent events, for directions and vacating of interim order in Civil Appeal) filed by Mr. Gagan Gupta, erstwhile Advocate on behalf of the respondent No. 1 was lastly listed before the Hon'ble Court along with main Civil Appeal on 18.03.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"one of us (Ranjan Gogoi, J.) is not inclined to take up these matters. Office to list the same before an appropriate bench."

Service is complete.

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 05th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Ashok K Pangrahi, Advocate

Mr. Puneet Singh Bindra, Advocate

Mr. Parmanand Gaur, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION-IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10192 OF 2010

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1

(Application for impleadment filed by Ms. Abha R Sharma, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 3

(Application for impleadment filed by Ms. N. Annapoorna, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6

(Application for impleadment filed by Mr. Rajendra Mathur, Advocate)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10193 OF 2010

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5

(Application for direction filed by Mr. R.K Adsure, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6

(Application for intervention filed by Mr. J.P. Dhanda, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7 AND 8

(Application for intervention and exemption from filing Official translation filed by Mrs. V.D Khanna, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 9 AND 10

(Application for direction and exemption from filing Official translation filed by Mrs. V.D Khanna, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.16

(Application for seeking extension of cut off date for the proof filed by Ms. S.A. Chitale, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 17

(Application for permission to file additional documents)

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10194 OF 2010

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6312 OF 2012

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 6 AND 8

(Application for directions)

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL NO. 21875 OF 2008

:2:

JANHIT MANCH & ANR. ETC. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 25th March, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order :

“Post the matters on 05th August, 2015.”

It is submitted that service is complete in all the matters above mentioned.

It is further submitted that Mr. Bhagvanji Raiyani, Appellant-in-person has sent an affidavit by post in Civil Appeal No. 10192 of 2010 and on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in Civil Appeal No. 10193 of 2010 and the same is being included in the paper book.

It is lastly submitted that Mr. Bhagvanji Raiyani, Appellant-in-person has also sent another affidavit by post in Civil Appeal No. 10192 of 2010 which was received on 01.08.2015 and the same is being circulated before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

The matters along with applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 05th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Bhagvanji Raiyani, Appellant-in-person
Mr. C.M. Shroff, Advocate
Mrs. S.A. Chitale, Advocate
Mr. E.C. Agarwala, Advocate
M/s. Lawyers Knit & Co., Advocates
Mr. A.P. Mayee, Advocate
Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, Advocate
Mr. V.D. Khanna, Advocate
Mr. Chandana Ramamurthi, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ap

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5283 OF 2006

ADJUDICATING & ENQUIRY OFFICER,
SEBI

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

MALANLPUR STEELS LTD.

...RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that sole Respondent in this matter is represented through M/s. Khaitan & Co, Advocates.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 5th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

M/s. K.J. John, Advocate

M/s.Khaitan & Co.,Advocates

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON:
BEFORE COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.486 OF 2015

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6884 OF 2014

SHANTABAI KISAN KOTHULE & ORS.

...PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

MS. NANDINI RAJARAM KARDILE & ANR.

...ALLEGED CONTEMNORS

REVISED OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that the SLP(Civil) No. 35556 of 2010 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 25.07.2014 when the Court was pleased to grant leave and allowed the appeal. (A copy of the signed order dated 25.07.2014 is annexed as Annexure P-1 at Page Nos. 15-16 in the contempt Petition paper books.)

It is further submitted that Ms. Bharti Tyagi, Advocate has filed contempt Petition for violation of the order dated 25.07.2014 passed by this Hon'ble Court and the same has been registered as contempt Petition (Civil) No. 486 of 2015 and placed with appeal paper books.

It is lastly submitted that original appeal paper books have been weeded out as per Rules after due preservation and counsel for the petitioner has filed fresh appeal paper books.

The contempt Petition above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this 14th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Bharti Tyagi, Advocate

Mr. Sudhanshu S Choudhari Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5621 OF 2006

LEENA H.DALAL

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

THE CUSTODIAN & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are two Respondents in this matter. Respondent No.1 is represented through Mr. Arvind K Tiwari, Advocate. Service of notice of Lodgment of petition of appeal is complete on Respondent No. 2 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 04th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. SanjayJain, Advocate

Mr.Arvind K Tiwari, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON: 5.08.2015
BEFORE COURT NO.:
ITEM NO.:

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6
(Application for extension of time)

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.7
(Application seeking impleadment on behalf of the Union of India (Ministry of
Textiles).)

IN
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 6
(Application for extension of time)

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.7448 OF 2011
WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.550 OF 2014

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7448 OF 2011
NATIONAL TEXTILE CORP. LTD. ...APPELLANT
-VERSUS-
NARESHKUMAR BADRIKUMAR JAGAD & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Interlocutory Application No. 6 alongwith contempt Petition above-mentioned was listed on 08.05.2015 before the Hon'ble court with an office report dated 24.04.2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"At the request of learned counsel for the parties, post for final hearing on 05.08.2015"

It is submitted that Mr. Gautam Narayan, Advocate has on 30.07.2015 filed an application seeking impleadment on behalf of the Union of India (Ministry of Textiles) in Interlocutory Application No.6 and the same has been registered as Interlocutory Application No. 7 and placed with appeal paper books.

The Interlocutory Applications alongwith contempt Petition above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this 04th Day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Kaustabh Anshuraj, Advocate

Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate

Mr. Gautam Narayan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

LISTED ON 05.08.2015
BEFORE LD.REGISTRAR
ITEM NO. 8

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10698 OF 2011

SHAMLAL KANHAYYALAL
KHANDELAL & ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

SITARAM NARAYANDAS & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that there are three respondents in the matter above-mentioned. Respondent nos. 1(ii) is represented through Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate where Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 are represented through Mr. C.S. Ashri, Advocate. Respondent No. 1(i) is expired and his Legal representatives have been brought on record vide Ld. Registrar's Order dated 08.07.2015. No one has filed Vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of all the proposed Legal representatives of the deceased Respondent No. 1(i) so far.

Counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case so far.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this report.

Dated this 03rd Day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Ms. Anagha S.Desai, Advocate
Mr. Pawan Kumar, Advocate
Mr. C.S. Ashri, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUOTORY APPLICATION NO. 2
(Application for early hearing)

IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6648 OF 2008

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CANTONMENT BOARD AURANGABAD
& ORS.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

KARAN SINGH

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that sole respondent in the matter above-mentioned is represented through Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi, Advocate.

It is further submitted that Mr. B. V Balram Das, Advocate for the appellant has filed an application for early hearing which is registered as Interlocutory Application No. 2.

The Counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case but counsel for the respondent has not filed the statement of case.

Service is complete.

The application above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 01st day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. B K.Prasad, Advocate

Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4565 OF 2006

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE
BOARD OF INDIA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

SAWACA BUSINESS MACHINES LTD.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that sole Respondent in this matter is represented through Mr. Zatin Zaveri, Advocate.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 4th day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. Bhargav V Desai, Advocate

Mr.Zatin Zaveri,Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4664 OF 2006

VSE STOCK SERVICES LTD.

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

S.E.G.I. & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

It is submitted that there are two Respondents in this matter. Respondent Nos. 1 is represented through Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate. Service of notice of Lodgment of petition of appeal is complete on Respondent No. 2 through Securities Appellate Tribunal, Mumbai but no one has entered appearance on his behalf so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 01st day of August, 2015

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. E.C. Agarwala, Advocate

Mr. B.V. Desai, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON: 17.08.2015
BEFORE COURT NO.: 1
ITEM NO.: 5

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2

(Application for early hearing)

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2593 OF 2013

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

RAHUL & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.12549 of 2012 was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 11.03.2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Leave granted.
Interim order to continue till the disposal
of the appeal."**

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there two respondents in this matter. Respondent No.1 is represented by Mr. Shishir Deshpande, Advocate after taking no objection certificate from the erstwhile advocate Mrs. Sujata Kurudukar. and Respodent No. 2 is represented by Mr. Nishant R Katneswarkar, Advocate.

It is further submitted that Ms. Sujata Kurdukar, erstwhile Advocate for Respondent No. 1 has filed an application for early hearing and the same is registered as Interlocutory Application No. 2 and included in paper books.

Service is complete.

The Interlocutory Application in the matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this 14th day of August, 2015

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. M.P.Mayee, Advocate

Ms. Sujata Kurdukar, Advocate

Mr. Nishant R Kanteswarkar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2257-2258, 2261-2262, 2264-2265, 2276-2277, 2278-2279, 2280-2281, 2259-2260, 2272, 2284, 2285-2286, 2289-2290, 2291-2292, 2319, 2263, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2273, 2274-2275, 2282-2283, 2287-2288, 2293-2294, 2295-2296, 2297, 2298-2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304-2305, 2306-2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313-2314, 2315-2316, 2317-2318, 4802, 5822 OF 2009, 2362-2363 AND 4535 OF 2008, 4624, 4625, 4945-4946 OF 2008, 7471 OF 2011 AND 74-75, 5043-5044, 5045-5046 OF 2012

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.4-5 & 6-7 IN C.A NOS. 2257-2258 OF 2009
(Applications for permission to file additional documents)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. IN C.A. NOS. 2274-2275 OF 2009
(Applications for deleting the name of respondent No.4)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 4 IN C.A. NO. 2281 OF 2009
(Applications for permission to file additional documents)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 1-2 IN C.A. NO. 2284 OF 2009
(Applications for Substitution to bring on record to bring on record the Lrs. of deceased appellant and condonation of delay in filing substitution)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.3-4 OF 2015 IN CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2304-2305 OF 2009

(Application for permission to file additional documents filed by Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.3-4 OF 2015 IN CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2306-2307 OF 2009

(Application for permission to file additional documents filed by Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.5 OF 2015 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4535 OF 2008
(Application for Deletion of respodent Nos. 9,10,16,17,22,26,27,29 to 36, 38 to 43, 48, 49, 50, 54 to 57)

AND

PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 6339-6340, 5988, 9778-9779, 13038, 13714-13715, 22639-22640, 12359, 8036, 9018-9019, 9159-9160, 8641-8642 AND 21881-21882 OF 2008
13458,6654,10371, 10363, 10242, 10243, 10238,10370, 24167-24168, 24752-24753, 22894, 22895, 24750-24751 OF 2009

:2:

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 3-4 AND 5-6 IN SLP(C) NOS. 21881-21882 OF 2008

(Applications for restoration of SLPs along with applications for condonation of delay in filing applications for restoration of SLPs)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS 7-8 IN SLP (C) NOS.21881-21882 OF 2008

(Applications for deletion of Respondent Nos. 4 to 14)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.....IN SLP (C) NOS.21881-21882 OF 2008

(Applications for Substitution to bring on record the Legal Representatives of Deceased petitioner and condonation of delay in filing the application for substitution)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2 IN SLP(C) NO. 8036 OF 2008

(Application for impleadment as petitioner on behalf of Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Advocate in SLP(C) No. 8036 of 2008)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO..... IN SLP(C) NO. 8036 OF 2008

(Application for impleadment as petitioner on behalf of Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Advocate in SLP(C) No. 8036 of 2008)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 15-16 IN SLP(C) NOS. 6339-6340 OF 2008

(Application for impleadment as petitioner filed by MR. Vikas Mehta, Advocate in SLP(C) Nos. 6339-6340 of 2008)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLCIATION NOS. 14-15 IN SLP(C) NOS. 24167-24168 OF 2009

(Application for intervention filed by Ms.Anuradha Mutatkar, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLCIATION NO.1 IN SLP(C) NOS. 10371, 10363, 10362, 10242, 10243, 10238 AND 10370 OF 2009 WITH PRAYER OF INTERIM RELIEF

AND

(Application for condonation of delay in filing the SLPs')

MUHAMMAD MASUD MUHAMMD, MOHSIN
BHILJI & ORS.

APPELLANTS

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

RRESPONDENTS

:3:

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 19th February, 2015, when the Hon'ble Court was interalia pass the following Order :

"...Since a part of the land in-question was acquired for the CIDCO, we direct that the State of Maharashtra and CIDCO which is represented before us by Mr. A.S. Bhasme, Advocate, may also undertake a similar verification of the claims without prejudice to their rights and contentions in these proceedings. The appellants/petitioners shall be free to approach the Managing Director of CIDCO in this regard with the relevant documents upon which they place reliance, in which event the State Government as also CIDCO may devise an appropriate method for verification of the claims. Since CIDCO was admittedly one of the beneficiaries of the acquisition proceedings, we see no difficulty in impleading it as party respondent to these proceedings. We order accordingly. Learned counsel for the appellants/petitioners whose land has been acquired for CIDCO to serve a copy of the SLP/appeal papers to learned counsel for CIDCO within one week....."

The Civil appeal Nos. 2257-2258 of 2009 were mentioned before the Hon'ble Court on 06th May, 2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"At the request, post in the month of August, 2015"

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that Mr. A.S Bhasme, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of CIDCO in Civil Appeal Nos. 2257-2258 of 2009.

It is further submitted that Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Advocate for the appellant in Civil Appeal Nos. 2304-2305 and 2306-2307 of 2009 has filed applications for permission to file additional documents and the same are registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 3 and 4 and being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

It is further submitted that Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate for the appellant in Civil Appeal No. 2284 of 2009 has filed and an application for Substitution to bring on

record to bring on record the Lrs. of deceased appellant and the same is barred by time by 445 days. However he has filed an application for condonation of delay in filing

...4/..

:4:

substitution alongwith vakalatnama and the same are registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 1 & 2

It is lastly submitted that Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate had filed Counter affidavit on behalf of common respondent No. 3 in SLP(C) Nos. 24167-68 and 22897 of 2009 respectively and the same have already been included in the paper books.

COMPLETE APPEALS:-

2257-2258, 2261-2262, 2264-2265, 2276-2277,2278-2279, 2280, 2281, 2259-2260, 2272, 2284, 2285-2286,2289-2290, 2291-2292 AND 2319 OF 2009 AND 4945-4946 OF 2008, 2362-2363 AND 4535 OF 2008, 4624-4625 OF 2008

COMPLETE SLP'S:-

6339-6340, 5988, 9778-9779,13038, 13714-13715,22639-22640, 12359,8036, 9018-9019, 9159-9160 OF 2008
13458, 6654, 10371, 10363, 10242, 10243,10238, 10370, 24167-24168, 24752-24753,22894, 22894, 22895, 24750-24751 OF 2009 ABD 30053 AND 30054 OF 2011

INCOMPLETE APPEALS:-

2263, 2266,2267,2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2273, 2274-2275, 2282-2283, 2287-2288, 2293-2294, 2295-2296, 2297, 2298-2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304-2305, 2306-2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313-2314, 2315-2316, 2317-2318, 4802, 5822 OF 2009
4535 OF 2008 & 7471 OF 2011 AND 74-75, 5043-5044, 5045-5046 OF 2012

INCOMPLETE SLP'S:-

8641-8642 AND 21881-21882 OF 2008

The appeals alongwith applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 03rd day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Advocate
Mr. Nishant R Katneshwarkar, Advocate
Ms. Prerna Mehta, Advocate

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Advocate
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate
Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate
Ms. Indu Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Sudhanshu S Chourdhari, Advocate
Mr. A.S bhasme, Advocate
Mr.Naresh Kumar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4371 OF 2006

SECURITIES & EXCHANGE
BOARD OF INDIA

...APPELLANT

-VERSUS-

DEVICHAND HANSRAJ OSWAL & ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The I.A. No.1 (Application for settlement between the parties) in the matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 01.04.2010 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"Issue fresh notice to respondent No. 1. Notice be additional given by dasti.

List this application immediately after service of notice is complete upon respondent No. 1.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in view of the settlement between the appellant and respondent No. 2, a bank draft for Rs. 1,87,000/- has been received by the appellant. The appellant would be at liberty to encash the said draft."

Thereafter the Application for settlement between the parties above-mentioned was again listed before the Hon'ble court on 04.07.2011 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"In this matter, notice was issued by this Court. Despite service of notice, the non-appellant (respondent no. 1) is not present. We have heard learned Attorney General for India. In the facts and circumstances of this case, we deem it appropriate to pass the Order in terms of prayer '(a)' of the application.

I.A. No. 1 is disposed of accordingly."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are two respondents in the matter above mentioned. Respondent No.2 is represented through Ms. Indu Sharma, Advocate. Respondent No.1 has been served through S.E.B.I. with Notice of Lodgement of petition to appeal but no one has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No. 1 so far.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 05th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mr.B.V. Desai, Advocates

Ms. Indu Sharma, Advocate

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 97 OF 2013

WITH
INTERLOCUOTORY APPLICATION NO. 3
(Application for intervention filed by Mr. Devrat, Advocate)

WITH
INTERLOCUOTORY APPLICATION NO. 10
(Application for permission to file additional documents filed by Mr. D. M. Nargolkar, Advocate)

WITH
INTERLOCUOTORY APPLICATION NO. 11
(Application for exemption from filing official translation filed by Mr. D. M. Nargolkar, Advocate)

SAGUNABAI ABAJI SURYAWANSHI & ANR. ...APPELLANTS
-VERSUS-

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 30th July, 2015 when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

"List the matter in the next week."

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that there are thirteen Respondents in the appeal above mentioned. Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are represented by Mr. Nishant R. Katneshwarkar, Standing counsel for Maharashtra. Respondent No. 4 is represented by Mr. D. M. Nargolkar, Advocate. Respondent Nos.5 to 11 and 13 are served at the SLP stage. The name of Respondent No. 12 has been deleted vide this Hon'ble Court's order dated 27.02.2012. Mr. Devrat, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance after taking "No objection" from the erstwhile Advocate Mr .Jitendra Kumar on behalf of the proposed intervenor.

It is lastly submitted that Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Advocate for the appellant has filed rejoinder affidavit on behalf of the appellant to counter affidavit of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and the same is included in the paper books.

Service is complete.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 4th day of August, 2015.

ASSISANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Advocate

Mr. Nishant R. Katneshwarkar, Advocate

Mr. D. M. Nargolkar, Advocate

Mr. Devvrat, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

LISTED ON: 05.08.2015
BEFORE COURT NO.: 02
ITEM NO.: 03

SECTION – IX
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPEALATTE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO. 3320 OF 2015
WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

WITH
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.4

(Applications for seeking permission to delete name of respondent Nos. 2,3 and 27 from the array of parties in Special Civil Suit No. 643 of 2013 and in the SLP (C) No. 3320 of 2015)

AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.5
(Applications for impleadment)

AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.6 and 8
(Applications for amendment of cause title of respondent Nos. 25 and 26)

AND
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.7 And 9
(Applications for exemption from filing official translation)

CHINTAMAN MAHADEO DIXIT

...PETITIONER

-VERSUS-

BHAGYASHREE VASANT BHIDE & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 09th March, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order :

“Mr. Pravin H. Parekh, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, prays for four weeks' further time to report back further developments”

Post after four weeks.”

It is submitted for the information of the Hon'ble Court that counsel for the petitioner has not filed any report for further developments so far.

It is further submitted that M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocates have on 02.07.2015 filed an application for impleadment as party praforma respondent and the said

application has been registered as I.A. No. 5.

-2-

It is lastly submitted that Mr. Arjun Garg, Advocate for the respondents has on 06.07.2015 filed two applications for amendment of cause title to correct the name of respondent Nos. 25 and 26 along with exemption from filing official translation and said applications have been registered as I.A. Nos. 6 to 7 and 8 to 9 respectively. All the applications are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court.

Service of notice is not complete.

The matter along with applications above mentioned is listed before the Hon'ble Court with this report.

Dated this the 5th day of August, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

M/s. Parekh & Co., Advocates

M/s. S.M. Jadhav & Co., Advocates

Mr. Arjun Garg, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

DK