

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NO. 1 IN Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)  
No(s).4274/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 05/10/2012 in WA No.1110/2012 of The  
HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

Y.V. RAJU Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AIR INDIA LTD. & ANR. Respondent(s)

(For clarification/modification)

I.A. NO. 4 IN WITH SLP(C) NO. 8570 of 2013  
(For clarification/modification)

Date: 15/03/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR  
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s)

Prof. Bhim Singh, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Satish Vig,Adv.

Mr. V. Sekhar, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. R. Santhnan Krishnan, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. C.S.N. Mohan Rao, Adv.  
Ms. Shaveta Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

I.A.1/2013 in Mr. P.S. Patwalia, Sr.Adv.  
SLP(C)4274/13 Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.  
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Swati Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Mudit Sharma,Adv.

I.A.4/2013 in Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.  
SLP(C)8570/13 Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Parvez Khan, Adv.  
Mr. Mudit Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. No. 1 in SLP(C) No. 4274 of 2013

This is an application filed by the respondents seeking modification of our order dated 8th February,

-2-

2013 apprehending that since only the order of the Division Bench has been stayed, the petitioner herein will claim reinstatement. It is further the apprehension of the respondents that in case the petitioner is not reinstated forthwith, he will seek to initiate proceedings for contempt of Court against the respondent. At this stage, Mr. Satish Vig, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, however, makes a statement that the petitioner shall not initiate any proceeding for contempt of Court against the respondents in case the order of the learned Single Judge is not implemented in the meantime.

With the aforesaid clarification, the interim order passed on 8th February, 2013 shall continue to operate.

The application is, accordingly, disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is granted one week's time to file the rejoinder affidavit.

I.A. No. 4 in SLP(C) No. 8570 of 2013

This is an application filed by the respondents seeking modification of our order dated 8th February, 2013 apprehending that since only the order of the Division Bench has been stayed, the petitioner herein will claim reinstatement. It is further the apprehension of the respondents that in case the petitioner is not reinstated forthwith, he will seek to initiate proceedings for contempt of Court against the

-3-

respondent. At this stage, Mr. Satish Vig, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, however, makes a statement that the petitioner shall not initiate any proceeding for contempt of Court against the respondents in case the order of the learned Single Judge is not implemented in the meantime.

With the aforesaid clarification, the interim order passed on 8th February, 2013 shall continue to operate.

The application is, accordingly, disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is granted one week's time to file the rejoinder affidavit.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)

(Indu Bala Kapur)

Court Master

Court Master