

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY NO. 2317 OF 2026]

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 19693 OF 2024

MOHD IQBAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SYED SHAH JAFFER HUSSAIN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Application seeking oral hearing of the review petition in open Court is rejected.

2. There is an enormous delay of 474 days in filing this Review Petition. The application for condonation of delay in filing the Review Petition does not give sufficient cause.

3. Even otherwise, having perused the review petition, we find that there is no error apparent on the face of the record. No case for review under Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Supreme Court Rules 2013 has been established.

4. In view of the above, the Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

5. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any,
is/are disposed of.

.....J.
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

.....J.
[PANKAJ MITHAL]

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 08, 2026.

ITEM NO.1001

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 2317/2026 in SLP(C) No. 19693/2024

MOHD IQBAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SYED SHAH JAFFER HUSSAIN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 15291/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 15292/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT, IA No. 15293/2026 - PERSONAL HEARING BEFORE THE COURT

Date : 08-04-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
2. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)