

o

ITEM NO.44

REGISTRAR COURT.1

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3997 OF 2007

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

CHITTA RANJAN DAS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

BISWANATH MUKHERJEE (D) TH. LRS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 09/10/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. H.K. Puri,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

It is unfortunate that we are unable to serve notice upon the appellant whose Advocate is no more.

It is more unfortunate that even the Trial Court has shifted their responsibility upon some other Court stating that they have no jurisdiction to serve such notice, but the fact remains that the dispute is between landlord and tenant. The appellant is the landlord and the respondents are tenants. Respondents must be paying rent to the appellant landlord and they must be aware about the whereabouts of the landlord. Therefore, it would be appropriate for the respondent to at

Item No.44

-2-

least disclose better and correct address of the appellant - landlord.

The learned counsel for the respondents is requested to disclose the better and correct address of the landlord - appellant so as to confirm notice for making alternative arrangement because of the death of the Advocate who has filed appearance for such appellant on or before 31.10.2012.

| | | (S.G. SHAH) |  
| | | REGISTRAR |

rd