

À

W.P(C)No. 56 OF 2004  
ITEM No.302

Court No. 2

SECTION PIL  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.56/2004

PRAJWALA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

( With Office Report )

Date : 30/08/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA

For Petitioner (s)Ms. Aparna Bhat,Adv.  
Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent (s)  
State of Tripura:Mr. Anurag Sharma,Adv.

NCT of Delhi:Mr. R. Mohan,ASG  
Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri,Adv.  
Ms. Anil Katiyar,Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri,Adv.

State of Goa:Ms. A. Subhashini,Adv.

State of Rajasthan:Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta,AAG  
Mr. Amarjit Singh Bedi,Adv.

State of Punjab:Mr. R.K. Rathore,AAG,Pb.  
Ms. Aparna Bhat,Adv.  
Mr. Kuldeep S. Malik,Adv.

High Court of Raj.Mr. A. Mariaputham,Adv.  
Ms. Aruna Mathur,Adv.  
M/s Arputham, Aruna & co.,Adv.

State of Kerala:Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R.,Adv.

High Court of Orissa:Mr. Janarajan Das,Adv.

Govt. of Pondicherry:Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

State of Manipur:Mr. K.H. Nobin Singh,Adv.

UT of Andaman & Nicobar Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.  
Mr. S.Wasim A Qadri,Adv.

High Court of M.P.Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri,Adv.  
Mr. Rohit K. Singh,Adv.  
Mr. Amit Mishra,Adv.

State of HPMr. J.S. Attri,AAG, HP

State of J & KMr. T.C. Sharma,Adv.

State of U.P. Mr. R.P. Mehrotra, Adv.  
Ms. Deepti R. Mehrotra, Adv.  
Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv.

State of T.N. Mr. S. Prasad, Adv.  
Mr. Gopalakrishnan, Adv.  
Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul, Adv.

State of Nagaland: Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.  
Mr. Riku Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

For Allahabad HC Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

For Arunachal Pradesh: Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.

State of A.P. Ms. D. Bharthi Reddy, Adv.  
Mr. R. Vikas, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.

Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

On oral application made by the learned counsel for the petitioner the State of Bihar is impleaded as a party respondent. It appears that except the State of Chhattisgarh, J & K, Nagaland and Himachal Pradesh, other States are represented through their respective standing counsel. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to serve notices upon the said States as also the State of Bihar through their respective counsel. It is directed accordingly. Registry to make note of this so that their names may appear in the cause list. Let the counter affidavits by the States be filed within six weeks. Responses thereto by the petitioner be filed within two weeks thereafter. List this matter eight weeks hence.

(Ganga Thakur)  
PS to Registrar

(Prem Prakash)  
Court Master