

b!

W.P(C)No. 56 OF 2004  
ITEM No.307

Court No. 2

SECTION PIL  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.56/2004

PRAJWALA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

( With Office Report )

Date : 01/11/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA

For Petitioner (s)

Ms. Aparna Bhat,Adv.

Mr.P.Ramesh Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

for Mo HRDMr.R. Mohan,Sharan,ASG

Mr.S.W.A. Qadri,Adv.,

Ms.Sushma Suri,Adv.,

for NCT of DelhiMr.R. Mohan,ASG

Mr.S.Wasim A. Qadri,Adv.,

Mr.D.S. Mahra,Adv.

for UtsMr.S.Wasim A.Qadri,Adv.,

Mr.D.S. Mahra,Adv.

Dr.Kailash Chand,Adv.

for State of Gujarat Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Adv.

Mr.Manita Varma,Adv.,

Mrs.Aruna Gupta,Adv.

For UT of Chandigarh Ms.Kamini Jaiswal,Adv.,

Mr.Saqib,Adv.

For Govt.of Pondicherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

For State of H.P. Mr. J.S. Attri,AAG,

Mr.Pramod Kumar Yadav,Adv.

for State of M.P. Mr.Satish K. Agnihotri,Adv.,

Mr.Rohit K Singh,Adv.,

Mr.Amit Mishra,Adv.

for State of Chhatisgarh: Ms. Suparna Srivastava,Adv.,

Mr.Rajesh Srivastava,Adv.,

Mr.Rahul Srivastava,Adv.

for State of Tripura Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.,  
Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.,  
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

For Manipur State Mr. KH Nobin Singh, Adv.

For State of Punjab: Mr. R.K. Rathore, AAG  
Mr. A.K. Sinha, Adv.,  
Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, Adv.

For State of T.N. Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.,  
Mr. S. Vallinayagam, Adv.,

for State of Kerala Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.

For State of Sikkim Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv.,  
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.,  
for M/s. Arputham, Aurna & Co.

for State of Assam: Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.,  
Mr. J.R. Luwang, Adv.,  
Ms. Namrata Chadda, Adv. for  
M/s. Corporate Law Group

for State of Goa Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

for State of Maharashtra: Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, Adv.

for State of Haryana Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.,  
Ms. Kavita Wadia, Adv.

For State of Orissa Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.

For State of Karnataka Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

for State of U.P. Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra, Adv.,  
Mrs. Deepti R Mehrotra, Adv.,  
Mr. Garvesh Kabara, Adv.

For State of Uttaranchal Ms. Rachna Srivastava, AAG

For State of Rajasthan: Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, AAG

For State of W. Bengal Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.,  
Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Adv.,  
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.,  
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.

For State of Bihar Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

For State of Jharkhand: Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.,  
Mr. Anand Shekhar, Adv.

For State of J&K Mr. Anis Suhrawardi, Adv.

for State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

For State of Nagaland Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.,  
Ms. Rajni Bhagat, Adv.,  
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

For Arunachal Pradesh State: Mr. Anil Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mrs. D. Bharati Reddy, Adv.,  
Mr. B. Vikas, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Ms. Aparna Bhat, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the States which have not filed their affidavits may file their affidavits within four weeks from date. It is so directed.

By the next date fixed, the petitioner may file its replies to the said affidavits, if any. Ms. Bhat further states that a chart shall be filed showing the respective stand taken by the States and the same shall furthermore indicate suggestions of the petitioner in the light thereof.

Let the matter appear six weeks hence.

(Vijay Kumar Sharma) (Madhu Saxena)  
AR cum PS to Hon. Judge Court Master