

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 56 OF 2004

PRAJWALA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.8

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

(For final disposal)

Date: 29/07/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.

Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. G.E. Vahanvati, Solicitor General

Mr. H. Baruah, Adv.

NCT

Mr. A. Saran ASG

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Nalsa

Mr. Saurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. T.M. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Ruby S. Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Ananga Bhattacharyya, Adv.

Mr. Avijeet Lala, Adv.

for M/s. P.S.N. & Co.

State of Goa

Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

Mrs. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Ajatshatru, Adv.

State of Nagaland

Mr. Upamanya Hazarika, Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

State of Assam

Mr. Krishna Sarma, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Sidharthan, Adv.

Mr. J.R. Luwang, Adv.

Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.

State of Arunachal

Mr. Anil Shrivastava, Adv.

Pradesh

Ms. Banosri, Adv.

Ms. Smita, Adv.

State of Manipur

Mr. KH. Nobin Singh, Adv.

State of Rajasthan

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Addl.Adv.General

Mr. Naveen Kumar Singh Adv.

Mr. Shivangi, Adv.

State of Maharashtra Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupati, Adv.

State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

State of Pondicherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

State of Jharkhand Ms. Pinky Anand, Sr. Standing Counsel

Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Arup Banerjee Adv.

State of Nagaland Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

State of Chhattisgarh Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Standing Counsel

Ms. Deepti Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

State of A.P. Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Amit Meharia, Adv.

Mr. Debojit Borkakati, Adv.

Mr. M.P. Meharia, Adv.

State of M.P. Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

State of Gujarat/Mizoram Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Ms. Sudhna Sndhu, Adv.

State of W.B./

J & K Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.

State of Chandigarh Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

Mrs. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The learned Solicitor General appearing for the Union of India has filed a draft protocol

for pre-rescue, rescue and post-rescue operations of child victims of trafficking for commercial

sexual exploitation, a copy whereof has been served upon Mr. Colin Gonsalves, learned Senior

Counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioners. Mr. Gonsalves, however, submits that the said

protocol does not take care of some areas, namely, quantity and quality of food supplied to the

inmates as also supply of medicines particularly to those who are suffering from disease like HIV

Positive etc. requiring supply of anti-viral and other costly drugs.

Mr. Gonsalves states that a short note containing his proposals as regard betterment of the

conditions of the inmates and in particular supply of food and medicines to them shall be prepared

by him; a copy whereof would be served upon the learned Solicitor General within one week so as

to enable him to seek appropriate instructions in that behalf. The learned Solicitor General

states

that the Union of India would submit its response to the suggestions proposed to be made by Mr.

Gonsalves within six weeks.

Put up after six weeks.

Rupam
)

(PHOOLAN WATI ARORA

COURT MASTER