

ITEM NO.63

COURT NO.9

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 56 OF 2004

PRAJWALA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Date: 21/11/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr.Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.

Mr. Vipin M.Benjamin, Adv.

Mr. P.Ramesh Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj, Adv.

Ms. A.Subhashini ,Adv

Ms. Rachna Srivastava, AAG

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta ,Adv

Mr. Naveen Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sarup Singh, Sr.AAG

Mr. Arun K. Sinha ,Adv

Mr. G.E.Vahanvati, SG

Mr. A.Saran, ASG

Mr. S.Wasim A.Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Kesh, Adv.

2

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. H.Baruah, Adv.

Mrs Anil Katiyar ,Adv

Mr. A.Saran, ASG

Mr. S.Wasim A.Qadri, Adv.

Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For NALSA

Mr. Gourab K.Banerji, Sr.Adv.

Ms. Rubu Singh Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy ,Adv

Mr. B.B. Singh ,Adv

Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi ,Adv

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal ,Adv

Ms. Shomila Bakshi, Adv.

Ms. Sunita Dwivedi, Adv.

Ms. Rani, Adv.

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma ,Adv

Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam ,Adv

Mr. A.Mariarputham, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

M/S Arputham, Aruna & Co.

Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal ,Adv

Mr. S.Vallinayagam, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee ,Adv

Mr. V.G. Pragasaam ,Adv

Mr. J.S. Attri ,AAG

Ms. Shivani Thakur, Adv.

Mrs.D. Bharathi Reddy ,Adv

Mr. B.S. Banthia ,Adv

Mr.Khwairakpam Nobin Singh ,Adv

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena ,Adv

3

Mr. Anil Shrivastav ,Adv

Mr. Saurabh Srivastava, Adv.

Ms. Smita, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde ,Adv

Mr. Anil K.Mishra, Adv.

Mr. A.Rohen Singh, Adv.

Ms. Pinky Anand, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Prasad ,Adv

Mr. Rajesh Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Arup Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain ,Adv

Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.

Mr. V.K.Sidardhan, Adv.

Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv. for

M/S Corporate Law Group

Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Adv.

Ms. Deepti Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava ,Adv

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra ,Adv

Ms. Alka Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv.

Mr. Upamanyu Hazarika, Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika ,Adv

Mr. Ajay Siwach, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Adv.

Mr.T.V.George ,Adv

Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Amit Meharia, Adv.

Mr. M.P.Meharia, Adv.

Mr. S.S.Shinde, Adv.

Mr. V.N.raghupathy, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

4

O R D E R

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Union of India submits that
the

f the Government of India is now agreeable to take a holistic view of the matter. It is

d an submitted that the National Commission for Women has also submitted

appropriate scheme, which has to be considered by the concerned departments of the

aring on Central Government, Mr. Gaurav Banerjee, learned senior counsel appearing

has behalf of the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) submits that a scheme

be, if not already also been submitted by NALSA. A copy of the said scheme may

matter be handed over, supplied to the learned Solicitor General for India. Let the matter

relation to such posted on 30th January, 2006 for passing appropriate directions in

questions which, according to Mr. Colin Gonsalves, learned Senior Counsel appearing

for the petitioner, do not have any monitory involvement of the Central Government.

ata Bhardwaj) (P.D. Balodi)

(Pushap L

ourt Master Court Master

C