

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 56 OF 2004

PRAJWALA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report))

(For final disposal)

Date: 04/04/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.G.E. Vahanvati, Solicitor General

UOI

Mr.Vikas Singh, Adv.

Mr. H. Baruah, Adv.

Ms.Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For NALSA

Mr.Gourab Banerji, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T. Momo Singh, Adv.

Mr.Saurav Agrawal, Adv.

Ms.Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

Govt. of Pondicherry Mr.V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

State of Nagaland

Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.

Mr.Satya Mitra, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

State of West Bengal Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

State of Assam

Mr. V.K. Sidatharan, Adv.

Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv. For

M/s Corporate Law Group

...2/-

-2-

State of Gujarat

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Ms. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv.

State of Mizoram

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

State of M.P.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.

Ms. Bharati Tyagi, Adv.

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

All Uts

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

NCT, Delhi

Mr. A. Saran, ASG

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

M/o H.R.D.

Mr. Vikas Singh, ASG

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Mrs. Sushma Suri, Adv.

State of Haryana

Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Harikesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. T.V. George, Adv.

Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.

Mr. Rajneesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. SD. Ahmad, Adv.

State of Tripura Mr.Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Retu Rai Biswas, Adv.

State of Bihar Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv.

State of Manipur Mr. Kh. Nobin Singh, Adv.

State of Punjab Mr. Sarup Singh, Sr. Addl. A.G.
Mr. R.K. Pandey, Adv.
Mr.Arun Kumar Sinha, Adv.

State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

State of Tamil Nadu Mr.R.Ayyam Perumal, Adv.
Mr. S. Vallynayagam, Adv.

...3/-

State of H.P. Mr.J.S.Attri, Adv.

State of U.P. Ms.Alka Agrawal, Adv.
Mr.Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

State of Sikkim Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathura, Adv.
Ms. Mini N. Nayar, Adv.

State of Maharashtra Mr. S.S.Shinde, Adv.
Ms. Mukti Choudhari, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, Adv.
Ms. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.
Mr.Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Adv.
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr.Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.

Mr. Arun Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv. (NP)

Ms. A. Suibhashini, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned Solicitor General appearing on behalf of Union of India states that the

Union of India is agreeable to the suggestions given

..4/-

-4-

by the petitioner in all respects other than the financial implications.
A copy of the additional

suggestions filed on behalf of the petitioner, which is on record, may be served on the learned Solicitor

General and Mr. Gaurav Banerjee, learned senior counsel appearing for NALSA.

Mr. Banerjee states that an affidavit shall be filed on behalf of NALSA in course of the

day. Let copies thereto be served on learned Solicitor General and on Ms. Aparna Bhat, learned counsel

appearing for the petitioner in course of the day. Responses, thereto, if any may be filed within three

weeks. Let the matter appear four weeks hence.

(Meenu Sethi)
hardwaj)

Court Master

(Pushap Lata B

Court Master