

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 410 OF 2006

K.C. SAREEN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SPE, CBI CHANDIGARH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

WITH

S.L.P. (CRL.) NO. 2887/2006

(with appln.(s) for c/delay in filing counter affidavit and with office report)

S.L.P. (CRL.) NO. 2642/2006

(with appln.(s) for bail and with office report)

Date: 24/11/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. R. Balasubramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Adv.
Mr. G. Balaji, Adv.
for M/s Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.

Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Subhashik, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr. R. Balasubramaniam, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Adv.
Mr. G. Balaji, Adv.
For M/s Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.

:1:

Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Adv.
Mr. Sriram Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Sarv Mitter, Adv.
For M/s Mitter & Mitter Co.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 410 OF 2006

The Appeal is allowed.

S.L.P. (CRL.) NO. 2887/2006

Leave granted.

The Appeal is allowed.

S.L.P. (CRL.) NO. 2642/2006

Leave granted.

The Appeal is allowed.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 410 OF 2006

K.C. SAREEN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

SPE, CBI CHANDIGARH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

Criminal Appeal No. __2219__ of 2010
(arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 2887/2006)

Criminal Appeal No. __2218__ of 2010
(arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 2642/2006)

O R D E R

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 410 OF 2006

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal, by special leave, has been filed against the impugned judgment and order of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana High Court dated 22.12.2005 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 533-SB of 1999.

The facts of the case have been set out in detail in the impugned judgment and order and we have perused the same very carefully. Hence, we are not repeating the same here.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the record of the case, we are of the opinion that, on the facts of the case, the material on record does

:1:

not establish the case of the prosecution beyond reasonable

doubt. Therefore, the appellant is acquitted of the charges leveled against him.

The impugned judgment and order of the High Court is set aside. The Appeal is allowed accordingly.

By order dated 10.04.2006 this Court had granted bail to the appellant. His bail bonds stand discharged accordingly.

Criminal Appeal No. __2219____ of 2010
(arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 2887/2006)

Leave granted.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment and order of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana High Court dated 22.12.2005 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 533-SB of 1999.

For the reasons stated in the order passed in Criminal Appeal No. 410/2006, this Appeal also stands allowed. The appellant is acquitted of the charges leveled against him.

By order dated 05.06.2006 this Court had granted bail to the appellant. His bail bonds stand discharged accordingly.

:2:
Criminal Appeal No. _ 2218____ of 2010
(arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 2642/2006)

Leave granted.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment and order of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana High Court dated 22.12.2005 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 892-SBA of 1999.

For the reasons stated in the order passed in Criminal Appeal No. 410/2006, this Appeal also stands allowed. The appellant is acquitted of the charges leveled against him.

By order dated 29.05.2006 this Court had granted bail to the appellant. His bail bonds stand discharged accordingly.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
NOVEMBER 24, 2010.

:3: