

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6563 OF 2004

RAKESH KUMAR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LAXMAN SINGH RAWAT

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for early hearing and exemption from filing OT of the additional documents)

Date: 18/05/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
(VACATION BENCH)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ashok Grover, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. T.N. Singh,Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

It is reported at the Bar that Shri S.K. Nandy, the Advocate-on-record for the respondent has expired long back. Yet there are confusing reports. While in one report dated 25.02.2009 it is shown as if Shri S.K. Nandy is no more, in the last report dated 16.05.2009 it is shown as if Shri S.K. Nandy is still representing the respondent. We have seen the order dated 12.05.2009 passed by the Court fixing the appeal in the summer vacation in which the presence of Shri S.K. Nandy is shown. This is not possible. The office to take note of this confusion and put the matter in the second week of July, 2009.

(Pawan Kumar)  
Court Master

(M.S.Negi)  
Court Master

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6563 OF 2004

RAKESH KUMAR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

LAXMAN SINGH RAWAT

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for early hearing and exemption from filing OT of the additional documents)

Date: 18/05/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
(VACATION BENCH)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ashok Grover, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. T.N. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

It is reported at the Bar that Shri S.K. Nandy, the Advocate-on-record for the respondent has expired long back. Yet there are confusing reports. While in one report dated 25.02.2009 it is shown as if Shri S.K. Nandy is no more, in the last report dated 16.05.2009 it is shown as if Shri S.K. Nandy is still representing the respondent. We have seen the order dated 12.05.2009 passed by the Court fixing the appeal in the summer vacation in which the presence of Shri S.K. Nandy is shown. This is not possible. The office to take note of this confusion and put the matter in the second week of July, 2009.

(Pawan Kumar)  
Court Master

(M.S.Negi)  
Court Master