

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRL.M.P.NOS.6658-6661/2004 in CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS.446-449 OF 2004

ZAHIRA HABIBULLAH SHEIKH & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For clarification)

(For Consideration of the Report to be submitted by the Enquiry Officer)

Date: 29/08/2005 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat,Adv.

Mr. P. Rakesh Kumar,Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Sakharhande,Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Singh,Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv

For Respondent(s) Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Adv.

Ms. Pinky Behera,Adv.

Mr. Boby Augustine,Adv.

Mr. S. Muralidhar, Adv.

Mr. A.P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh K. Giri, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Enquiry Report has been submitted by the Enquiry Officer. Let
copies of the corrected report be supplied to the learned counsel appearing for
different parties so that their stand as regards the acceptability or otherwise of
the report can be indicated within three weeks. Learned counsel for the parties
shall submit written submissions so that lengthy oral arguments can be

...2/-

-2-

avoided. An apprehension is raised that in the trial, which is pending before the Court at Mumbai, effort may be made to utilize parts of the Enquiry Report. We make it clear that since it has not yet decided as regards acceptability or otherwise of the report, question of the report being utilized in the trial does not appear to be a correct apprehension.

After supplying the copies of the corrected report, same be kept in the

custody of the Registrar.

List the matter on 24th October, 2005, for further hearing.

(A.S. BISHT)

(VIJAY AGGARWAL)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER