

SECTION XII

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Civil Appeal Nos. 3633-3634 of 1999

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 3-4

(Applications for stay)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7-10

(Applications for exemption from filing official translation)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 11-12

(Applications for permission to file additional documents and for directions)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 13-14

(Applications for bring on record the Additional documents with Annexures R-1 to R-6)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 15-16

(Applications for exemption for filing O.T.)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 17-18

(Applications for permission to open to ren and to reconsider the issue of re-location of the industries of Hapur of the Respondents.)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 19-20

(Applications for permission to file additional document)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 21-72

(Applications for condonation of delay in filing undertaking filed by respondent Nos. 25-28, 30-36, 43-46, 48-49 and 51-60)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 73-74

(Applications for condonation of delay in filing reply affidavit)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 75-76

(Applications for exemption from filing official translation)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 77-78

(Applications for extension of time)

AND

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 147 OF 2007 In C.A.No. 3634 of 1999

.....2/-

5. As regards the four industries mentioned in second Table, the Board before granting consent ought to have brought to the notice of this Court the progress made by these four industries and sought permission from the Court. The Board has to explain as to why without bringing to the notice of this Court about the progress made by these industries, the consent was granted. The affidavit shall be filed by the Secretary, Board in this regard within four weeks from today.

6. As regards 27 industries in the first Table, we observe that as and when these industries complete modernization of the plant and meet all the statutory requirements as well as other conditions that may be put by the Board, liberty is granted to the Board to make application for appropriate directions. It is clarified that without direction of this Court, no consent shall be granted by the Board with regard to subject industries in any manner whatsoever.

List both matters after four weeks."

It is submitted that pursuant to the order quoted above, Mr. Pradeep Misra, Advocate has on 2nd April, 2014 filed affidavit on behalf of the appellant and he has served its copy only on M/s. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Aftab Ali Khan, Abhishek Chaudhary, Manik Karanjawala, T.N. Singh and Ms. Shobha, Advocates for the respondents and the same is placed with the appeal paper books.

Service of notice of lodgment of petition of appeal is complete.

Original record has been received in this Registry and the same is available for the reference of the Hon'ble Court.

...4/-

-4-

The matters alongwith applications and contempt petition above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this

office report.

Dated this, the 4th day of April, 2014

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mr. Pradeep Misra, Advocate.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate.
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Mishra, Advocate.
Mr. Vinod Kumar Goyal, Advocate.
Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Advocate.
Ms. Shweta Garg, Advocate.
Ms. Shobha, Advocate.
MR. Kamendra Mishra, Advocate.
Mr. Himinder Lal, Advocate.
Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Advocate.
Mr. Aftab Ali Khan, Advocate.
Mr. Rakesh U. Upadhyay, Advocate
Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, Advocate.
Mr. Abhishek Chaudhary, Advocate.
Mr. T.N. Singh, Advocae

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

hp