

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2236/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 03/10/2005 in CWP No. 6945/2005 of The  
HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HARPREET SINGH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file rejoinder affidavit, seeking permission  
to raise

additional grounds, permission to file additional documents and prayer for in  
terim

relief and office report)

(For final disposal)

Date: 10/11/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P.C. Agrawal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. M.P. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Karunakarmahlik, Adv. for

Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order. No costs.

(J.S. Rawat)

(Kanwal Singh)

AR-cum-PS

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4888 OF 2006  
(Arising out of SLP(C) No. 2236 of 2006)

State of Punjab & Anr.

Appellant(s)

Versus

Harpreet Singh

Respondent(s)

)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Having heard the counsel on both sides, we deem it appropriate to

remit the case back to the High Court for a fresh decision there on.

Accordingly, the impugned order of the High Court is set aside and the case

is remitted back to the High Court to record a finding on the point as to whether a person is working on ad hoc or officiating basis, is required to be given a notice before relegating him to his substantive post.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

...J.

.....  
(ASHOK BHAN)

New Delhi;

....J.

November 10, 2006.

.....  
(DALVEER BHANDARI)