

À>

SLP(Crl.)No. 1144 OF 2001
.PL58

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 632 of 2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 1144 of 2001)

Yashpal ..Appellant

Vs.

State of Himachal Pradesh ..Respondent

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 633 of 2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 1143 of 2001)

Bhupender Chand @ Munna ..Appellant

Vs.

State of Himachal Pradesh ..Respondent

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.

The two appellants were convicted under Section 307 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. We are not inclined to interfere with the finding arrived at as the two courts have concurrently found them on facts.

Mr. Sushil Kumar, learned senior counsel then made an endeavour to get reduction of the sentence imposed on them.
..2/-

:2:

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
The principal fact projected by the learned senior counsel is that a compromise has been arrived at between the appellants and the victim. In order to substantiate the same a document has been produced signed by the victim and the appellants. As Mr.Sushil Kumar, learned senior counsel submitted at the Bar that the compromise deed is a genuine one we accept his statement. After considering all the facts and circumstances we think that a sentence of rigorous imprisonment for six months for both of them would be sufficient in the interest of

justice. We, therefore, reduce the sentence to the rigorous imprisonment for six months for both the appellants.

These appeals are disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J.@@
BB
(K.T. THOMAS)@@
BB

.....J.@@
BB
(R.P. SETHI)@@
BB
NEW DELHI@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
MAY 10, 2001 @@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.PA
.PL58
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 632 of 2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 1144 of 2001)

Yashpal ..Appellant

Vs.

State of Himachal Pradesh ..Respondent

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 633 of 2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of S.L.P. (Crl.) 1143 of 2001)

Bhupender Chand @ Munna ..Appellant

Vs.

State of Himachal Pradesh ..Respondent

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Date : 10/05/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Sushil Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vimal Chandra S. Dave, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Kalsi, Adv.
Ms. Reetu Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shailendra Narayan Singh, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Anil Soni, Adv.
Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

.SP2

Leave granted.
Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(N.K. Goel) (H.K. Bhatia)
Court Master Court Master
(Signed order is placed on the file)