

W.P(C)No. 125 OF 1998

ITEM NO.

112

COURT NO.

1

SECTION

PIL/II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

W.P. (C) NO. 125 OF 1998

A.I. Lawyers Forum for Civil Liberties & Anr.

...

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

U.O.I. & Ors.

...

RESPONDENTS

WITH

W.P(C)No.131/2000, W.P(C)No.7/2001

W.P(C)No.581/2001(With Appl.(s) for interim directions),

CrI.A.No.226/2003, W.P(C)No.418/2001

Date :

13/07/2004

These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K.THAKKAR

For Appearing Parties :

Mr. O.P. Saxena, Adv.

Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, Adv.

Mr. B. Datta, ASG (NP)

Mr. T.S. Doabia, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.

Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.

Mr. S. Bhatnagar, adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, adv.

Mr. K.K.Venugopal, Sr. adv.

Ms. Neelam Sharma, adv.

Ms. Seema, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, adv.

Mr. T.C. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, adv.

Mr. Prateek Jalan, Adv.

Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, adv.

...2/-

Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, adv.

Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.
Mr. V.S. Sidharthan, adv.
for M/s. Corporate Law Group

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. U. Hazarika, adv.
Ms. Rikku Sharma, adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, adv.

Mr. Prateek Jalan, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, adv.

Mr. M.P. Jha, Adv.
Mr. Ram Ekbal Roy, adv.
Mr. Anil K. Chopra, adv.

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Narinder Verma, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court made the following

O R D E R

WP(C) Nos. 7/2001, 131/2000, 581/2001 and 418/2001 After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, we find that WP(C) No. 7/2001, WP(C) No. 131/2000 and 581/2001 raise similar issues. While WP(C) No. 418/2001 though connected yet the scope of hearing in that matter is a little different. However, the learned counsel for the parties pray that though the hearing in two sets of cases may be separated but they may be heard in continuation.

List WP(C) No. 7/2001, 131/2001 and 581/2001 first in order and then WP(C) No. 418/2001 in continuation.

To come up for hearing in the month of September, 2004.

To expedite the hearing and decision, the following directions are made :-

1. All the counsel for parties having common interest should hold a conference and prepare a common synopsis of submissions stating

- (a) brief relevant facts;
- (b) points arising for decision;

...3/-

- 3 -

(c) pleas sought to be urged, and

(d) list of authorities relied on (in support of each of the pleas). (Reference to specific paragraphs be mentioned)

Such synopsis shall be filed on or before 31.7.2004 under copy to each of the counsel opposite

2. We request the learned counsel for each set of parties to decide as amongst themselves who will lead in making submissions. In the event of more than one submissions, the same may be divided between different counsel. In any case, repetition of submissions has to be avoided.

3. At the end of hearing the Court may permit filing of detailed note of submissions, if necessary.

If the Union of India proposes to file any additional affidavit it will have the liberty of moving an appropriate application supported by an affidavit within four weeks.

Crl.A. No. 226/2003

List after the decision in WP(C) No. 418/2001.

Interim order to continue.

WP(C) No. 125/1998

On behalf of the State of Meghalaya a status report incorporating a few suggestions is proposed to be filed. Let it be done in one week. List for hearing after six weeks.

(Ajay Kr. Jain)

Court Master

(Radha R. Bhatia)

Court Master

