

â,

W.P(C)No. 125 OF 1998

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

ITEM No.25

Court No. 1

SECTION PIL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.125/1998

A.I.LAWYERS FORUM FOR CIVIL LIBERTS.&ANR

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With appln. for permission to file new counter affidavit
with office report)
(for directions)

(With WP(C) No. 131/2000 and WP(C) No.7/2001)

(With appln. for interim directions and impleading party and
permission to file new counter affidavit with Office Report)

Date : 15/10/2001 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

For Petitioner (s) in WP(C) 125/98	Mr. O.P. Saxena, adv. Mr. Vinod Manchanda, adv. Mr. C.R. Mirdha, adv. Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, adv.
in WP(C) 131/2000	Mr. Ashok H. Desai, Sr. adv. Mr. Prateek Jalan, adv. Mr. Prashant Kumar, adv.
in WP(C) 7/2001	Mr. R.K. Jain, Sr. adv. Mr. M.T. Khan, adv. Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, adv.
For Respondent (s)/ Union of India	Mr. Harish N. Salve, S.G. Mr. R.N. Trivedi, ASG Mr. Pritesh Kapur, adv. Ms Rekha Pandey, adv. Ms. Sushma Suri, adv.
For Applicant in IA 3/2001	Mr. Azim H. Laskar, adv. Mr. Anil Kumar Chopra, adv.
For Applicant in IA 4/2001	Mr. Dipankar P. Gupta, Sr. adv. Mr. Azim H. Laskar, adv. Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, adv.
For State of Goa	Ms. A Subhashini, adv.
For State of Arunachal Pradesh	Mr. Anil Srivastav, adv.

For State of Mizoram Ms. H Wahli, adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, adv.

For State of W.B. Ms. Neelam Sharma, adv.
Mr. T.C. Sharma, adv.

For State of Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, adv.

For State of Tripura Mr. Gopal Singh, adv.

For State of Assam Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. adv.
Mr. Krishna Sarma, adv.
Ms. Asha G. Nair, adv.
Mr. V.K. Sidharthan, adv.
Ms. Meghalee Barthukar, adv.
for M/s. Corporate Law Group

For State of Sikkim Mr. A. Mariarputham, adv.
Ms. Aruna Mathur, adv.
Mr. Anurag D. Mathur, adv.
for Arputham Aruna & Co.

Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Writ Petition (C) No.125 of 1998@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
An application has been filed on behalf of the State of Assam seeking permission to file "a new counter affidavit". The application is supported by an affidavit of the Commissioner & Secretary, Home Department, Government of Assam.
Mr. Kapil Sibal, learned senior counsel appearing for the State submits that he does not press prayer 'a' and that the affidavit which has been filed along with this application, may be treated as 'an additional affidavit'. Learned counsel appearing for other parties have no objection to that course being adopted. We, therefore, take on record the new affidavit as an additional affidavit filed on behalf
...3/-

of State of Assam and reject prayer 'a'. The application is allowed in above terms.
Mr. Ashok Desai, learned senior counsel prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file his response to the additional affidavit filed by the State. All other parties may also file their response, if any, within the same period, to the additional affidavit.
List the writ petitions after four weeks before a three Judge Bench for further proceedings.

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

The applicant through their learned counsel is permitted to assist the Court at the time of hearing of the case. The application for impleadment is, accordingly, disposed of.

.SP1

(Neena Verma)
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)
Court Master