

ITEM NO.34 & 63

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)....CC 2598/2005

(From the judgment and order dated 06/07/2004 in CWP No. 17565/2003
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

ESTATE OFFICER, HUDA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SIRIYA DEVI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With I.A.No.1 - appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

WITH

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 2656-2657 of 2005 - With I.A.No.1 (applns. for c/delay in filing SLPs. and
with
Office Report)

WITH

SLP(C)...CC No.2885 & 2922 of 2005 - With I.A.No.1 (applns. for c/delay in filing SLPs. and
with
Office Report)

Date: 14/03/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Avneet Toor, Adv.

Mr. DP. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the policy of 1992 offering plots in

-2-

the vicinity of the acquired area to the land oustees, cannot be availed by such oustees whose

lands were acquired fifteen years back. Let the counsel make a statement on affidavit within four

weeks whether the alleged land oustees have been paid compensation for the land acquired or not

to appreciate purport of the policy.

List the matters after four weeks.

(S. Thapar)

(Phoolan Wati Arora)

PS to Registrar

Court Master