

SLP(C)No. 2956-2957 OF 2001

ITEM No.34

Court No. 7

SECTION XI  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.2956-2957/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 12/12/2000 in WP 1923/00  
& CMA No. 130/2001 in WP 1923/00 of the High Court of Allahabad at  
Lucknow )

AJAI KUMAR ANAND

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

LOK SEWA AYOOG, U.P. & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)  
( With Appln(s). for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment )

Date : 31/08/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s) Mr. R.K. Jain, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. OP Srivastava, Adv.  
Mrs. Ritu Jalal, Adv.  
Ms. Ranjana Narayan, Adv.  
Mr. R.P. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Arvind Kumar Shukla, Adv.  
Ms. Shalini Kumar Aggrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Pramod Swarup, Adv.  
  
Mr. A.S. Pundir, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

Leave granted.  
The appeals are allowed in terms of the signed  
order.

.SP1

Charanjit

[ Om Prakash ]  
Court Master

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6118-6119 OF 2001@@

EE

[ Arising out of SLP(C) 2956-2957/2001 ]

Ajau Kumar Anand

...Appellant

Versus

Lok Sewa Ayog, U.P. & Ors.

...Respondents

O R D E R

.SP2

.....L.....I.....J

Leave granted.

The High Court disposed of this matter on the ground of availability of alternative remedy, namely, that the appellant before us could file a representation under Section 4 of the U.P. Public Services Tribunal Act.

It is brought to our notice that large number of cases of similar nature have been entertained by the High Court both before this matter was disposed of and subsequently thereafter.

In the circumstances, we do not think that the appellant should be treated differently. We set aside the orders made by the High Court and direct the High Court to restore the writ petition and dispose it of on merits afresh in accordance with law. The appeals are allowed accordingly.

.SP1

.....J  
[ S. RAJENDRA BABU ]

.....J  
[ DORAISWAMY RAJU }

New Delhi,  
August 31, 2001