

DITEM NO.122

COURT NO.6

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1472 OF 2004

DODDAPINNI VELADRI & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 09/09/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. A.T.M. Rangaramanujam, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Anu Gupta, Adv.for
Ms. Rani Jethmalani,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1472 OF 2004

Doddapinni Veladri & Others ..Appellants

versus

State of A.P. ..Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned
judgment dated 21st October, 2003 of the High Court of
Judicature of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad in Criminal
Appeal No. 1017 of 1996.

The facts have been set out in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

On the facts of the case, while upholding the conviction of the appellants under Section 304 Part II, IPC, we reduce the period of sentence from three years rigorous imprisonment to two years simple imprisonment.

Appellants were released on bail by this Court on 10th December, 2004. If they have served the sentence of two years, their bail bonds shall stand discharged. If not, they be taken into custody forthwith to serve out the remaining portion of their sentence.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;J.
SEPTEMBER 09, 2010 [T.S. THAKUR]