

SLP(Crl.)No. 569 OF 2001

ITEM No.4

Court No.10

SECTION II
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 569/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 12/12/2000 in CRLM 4689/00
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

M/S. M.S. SHOES EAST LTD. & ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF DELHI & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned
Judgment and stay and permission to place addl. documents on record)
(For Final Disposal) With

SLP(Crl.)No. 621/2001

Date : 23/04/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.P. MOHAPATRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C. BANERJEE

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ravi Gupta, adv.
Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Adv. for

For Respondent (s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, SGI.
in 621: Mr. Parag Tripathi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Kapur, adv.

in 569: Mr. Harish N. Salve, SGI.
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, adv.
Mr. Rajiv Kapur, adv.

For C.B.I. Mr. A. Mariarputham, adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.J
.SP2

Heard Mr. Kapil Sibal, learned senior counsel for
the petitioners and Mr. H.N. Salve, learned Solicitor
General of India appearing for respondent Nos. 3-14 and Mr.
A. Mariarputham, learned counsel appearing for the Central
Bureau of Investigation.

We have perused the order passed on 12th March, 2001 in which it was clarified that during the pendency of these cases the investigation can go on but none of the respondents shall be arrested.

The proceedings for quashing the criminal proceeding is pending before Delhi High Court.

Considering the facts and circumstances of these cases and the contentions raised by the learned counsel for the parties we dispose of these special leave petitions with the order that the interim order passed by this Court on 12th March, 2001 will continue till the disposal of the cases pending before Delhi High Court. It is made clear that the order of protection against arrest is confined to the respondents in the case who are officials of public sector banks. We also make it clear that we have not gone into merit of the case. The High Court is requested to dispose of the cases expeditiously.

.SP1

(Suman Wadhwa)
Court Master

(S.Malkani)
Court Master