

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.10

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s) .55/2003

E.R. KUMAR & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for impleadment and office report)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 572/2003

(With Office Report)

Date : 13/02/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s)

Petitioner-in-person

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR
Mr. Syed Musaib, Adv.

For applicant

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Divya Jytoi Jaipurjar, Adv.
Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Union of India

Mr. P.S. Narsimha, ASG
Mr. Guru Krishana Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Rani Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Gunwant Dara, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, AOR
Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR

For States of
Andhra Pradesh

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

Arunachal Pradesh	Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
Assam	Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv. Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv. for M/s Corporate Law Group
Bihar	Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Adv. Mr. Samir Ali Khan, Adv.
Chhattisgarh	Mr. C.D. Singh, AAG Mr. Aniruddh P. Mayee, Adv.
Gujarat	Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv. Ms. Preeti Bhardwaj, Adv.
Haryana	Mr. Dinesh Chander Yadav, AAG Mr. A.S. Rishi, Adv. Mr. Rajiv Kumar Singh, Adv.
H.P.	Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, AAG Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.
J&K	Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv. Ms. Ammu Suresh, Adv.
Jharkhand	Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, AOR Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh, Adv. Mohd. Waquas, Adv.
Madhya Pradesh	Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AOR
Maharashtra	Mrs. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv. Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR
Manipur	Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv. Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.
Mizoram	Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv. Mr. Heshu Kayina, Adv.
Nagaland	Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.
Punjab	Mr. Kuldip Singh, AOR
Rajasthan	Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, Adv. Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.

Sikkim	Mr. A. Mariarputham, AAG Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv. Mr. K. Vijay Kumar, Adv. for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.
Tamil Nadu	Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv. Ms. J. Janani, Adv. Mr. A. Santha Kumaran, Adv.
Telangana	Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv. Mr. Sumanth Nookala, Adv. for M/s. Palwai Venkat Law Associates
Uttar Pradesh	Mr. Vinay Garg, AOR Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, Adv. Mr. Uday Singh, Adv.
Uttarakhand	Mr. Pankaj Bhatia, Adv. Mr. Vivek Choudhary, Adv. Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR
West Bengal	Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv. Mr. Saakaar Sardana, Adv.
A&N Islands	Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Mrs. G. Indira, AOR
Puducherry	Mr.V.G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv. Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv. Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, AOR Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, AOR Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, AOR Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv. Mr. Dev J. Roy, Adv. Mr. Kunal Verma, AOR Mr. Samir Ali Khan, AOR Mr. Sunil Fernandes, AOR Ms. Kaveeta Wadia, AOR Ms. Pragati Neekhara, AOR Mr. Gopal Prasad, AOR Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, AOR

Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, AOR

Mr. B. S. Banthia, AOR

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned Additional Solicitor General has shown us an affidavit which he proposes to file in the Registry within two days.

It is stated by learned Additional Solicitor General that 27 States/Union Territories have set up the Executive Committee in terms of the National Urban Livelihood Mission (NULM) for the purposes of permanent housing structures in 790 urban cities. He submits that the matter is being taken up with the remaining States/Union Territories to ensure that the Executive Committee is put in place at the earliest.

Learned Additional Solicitor General further states that regular monitoring of setting up permanent housing structures in 790 urban cities will be done on a monthly basis and monthly progress reports will be called from the States/Union Territories in terms of the Scheme.

Since some progress has been made after the last date of hearing and the Union of India seems to be desirous of implementing the Scheme in its letter and spirit, we

adjourn the matter to 24th April, 2015.

A week prior to the next date of hearing, the Union of India should file a status report with regard to the setting up of the Executive Committee in all States/Union Territories and a gist of the progress made in the implementation of the NULM. This should preferably be in the form of a tabular statement.

We expect the Union of India to concentrate not only on the number of shelters that are being constructed, but also on the quality of shelters and the facilities provided therein.

List the matters on 24th April, 2015.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
COURT MASTER

(JASWINDER KAUR)
COURT MASTER