

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 55/2003

E.R. KUMAR & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
(FOR IMPLEADMENT)

Respondent(s)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 572/2003 (PIL-W)
(FOR INTERIM DIRECTIONS)

Date : 13-10-2017 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-in-person
Mr. S. Lakshmi Iyer, Adv.
Ms. Raveena Rai, Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Dash, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR
Ms. Amiy Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Shakti Vardhan, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Shrivastav, Adv.
Cheryl D' Souza, Adv.
Ms. Amita Joseph, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Union of India

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG
Ms. V. Mohana, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kailash Vasudev, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mohan Prasad Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Rani Singh, Adv.
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, AOR
Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.

Delhi Urban Shelter Ms. Uttara Babbar, Adv.
Improvement Board Ms. Akanksha Choudhary, Adv.

**For States of
Andhra Pradesh**

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.

Arunachal Pradesh

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Assam

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv.

Bihar

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR
Ms. Fauzia Shakil, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

Chhattisgarh

Mr. Jugal Kishor Gilda, AAG
Mr. Aniruddh P. Mayee, Adv.
Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.
Mr. Chirag Jain, Adv.

Gujarat

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR
Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.
Ms. Shodhika Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Yashaswi Sk. Chocksey, Adv.

Haryana

Mr. Dinesh Chander Yadav, AAG
Dr. Sukhdev Sharma, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, AOR

H.P.

Mr. D.K. Thakur, AAG
Mr. Varinder Kr. Sharma, Adv.

J&K

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.
Ms. Fauzia Shakil, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

Jharkhand

Mr. Tapesh Kr. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Waquas, Adv.
Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

Karnataka

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, AOR
Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.
Md. Apzal Ansari, Adv.

Kerala

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Adv.

	Mr. Anu K. Joy, Adv. Mr. Abdul Kabeer, Adv.
Madhya Pradesh	Mr. Naveen Sharma, Adv. Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AOR
Maharashtra	Mr. Kunal A. Cheema, Adv. Mr. Nishant Katneshwarkar, Adv.
Manipur	Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR
Meghalaya	Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv. Mr. Subhro Sanyal, Adv.
Mizoram	Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv. Mr. Shikhar Garg, Adv. Mr. Ganesh Bapu, Adv. Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR
Nagaland	Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Edward Belho, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. K. Luikang Michael, Adv. Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.
Odisha	Mr. Shibashish Mishra, Adv. Mr. Sylona Mohapatra, Adv.
Punjab	Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, Adv. Ms. Uttara Babbar, Adv. Ms. Akanksha Choudhary, Adv.
Rajasthan	Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, Adv. Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv. Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv. Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.
Sikkim	Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv. Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv. Ms. Simran Jeet, Adv. for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.
Tamil Nadu	Mr. B. Vinodh Kanna, Adv.
Telangana	Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv. Mr. Mrityunjai Singh, Adv.
Tripura	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Uttar Pradesh	Mr. Vinay Garg, AOR Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.
Uttarakhand	Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR Mr. Ashutosh Kr. Sharma, Adv.
West Bengal	Mr. Chanchal Kr. Ganguli, AOR Mr. Raja Chatterjee, Adv. Mr. Runa Bhuyan, Adv. Mr. Adeel Ahmed, Adv.
A&N Islands	Mr. Bhupesh Narula, Adv. Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Mrs. G. Indira, AOR
Chandigarh	Ms. Manpreet S., Adv. Mr. S.S. Rawat, Adv.
Puducherry	Mr. Kunal Verma, AOR Mrs. Yugandhara Pawar Jha, Adv. Mr. Piyush Bhardwaj, Adv. Mr. V.G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv. Mr. Manu Sundaram, Adv. Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, AOR Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, AOR Mr. Sunil Fernandes, AOR Ms. Kaveeta Wadia, AOR Ms. Pragati Neekhara, AOR Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv. Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, AOR Mr. B. S. Banthia, AOR

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following

O R D E R

The learned Solicitor General has handed over an affidavit in the Court today. That may be taken on record.

We have gone through the Final Report of the Committee

appointed by this Court. The Report of the Committee deals with 11 States and 2 Union Territories.

The figures given by the Committee are indeed quite startling. The figures in respect of the States of Haryana, West Bengal and Uttar Pradesh arrived at by the Committee are as follows:

No.	State	Requirement	Capacity	Balance
1.	Haryana	23,789	918	22,871
2.	West Bengal	1,04,967	3,560	1,01,407
3.	Uttar Pradesh	1,80,929	6,060	1,74,869

The Committee has also listed out 9 major factors for the progress in the implementation of the National Urban Livelihood Mission and has also given certain suggestions and directions this Court may consider passing.

We have inquired from the learned Solicitor General whether all the details recorded by the Committee and other details have been placed on a computer including the details mentioned in the affidavit given by the learned Solicitor General in the Court today.

The learned Solicitor General says that he will take instructions in this regard and get back to us on the next date of hearing. We are of opinion that the entire data must be computerized.

We may here mention that the affidavit filed by the learned Solicitor General reflects that in so far as the State of Haryana is concerned, there is no information available with regard to the status of Executive Committee / Committees in the Urban Local

Bodies (ULB). As far as the State of West Bengal is concerned, only six Committees have been constituted out of 125 ULB and as far as the State of U.P. is concerned, only 74 Committees have been constituted out of 130 ULB.

The status with regard to the utilization of funds is also not at all clear from the affidavit given by the learned Solicitor General in the Court today. All that can be stated is that funds have not been released to several States such as Bihar, Maharashtra, Haryana and Delhi. The utilization of funds for the year 2015-16 is also not clear from the affidavit given by the learned Solicitor General.

The learned Solicitor General assures us that all the details regarding utilization of funds, constitution of Committees etc. etc. will be made available particularly with regard to the three States that we are concerned with for the time being i.e. Haryana, West Bengal and U.P.

Learned counsel appearing for the States of Haryana, West Bengal and U.P. have been informed that we propose to take up the matter very seriously. We would like all information to be placed on record by way of an affidavit within two weeks by some senior officer dealing with National Urban Livelihood Mission. No extension of time will be granted for this purpose.

The affidavit to be filed by these three States should be circulated to learned counsel for the parties including the learned Solicitor General who may also verify all the information that is available.

These three States will also give a road map of what they

propose to do for the implementation of the National Urban
Livelihood Mission.

List the matter on 8th November, 2017.

(MEENAKSHI KOHLI)
COURT MASTER

(KAILASH CHANDER)
COURT MASTER