

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). _____ OF 2026

(arising out of SLP (C) No(s).2940 of 2026)

ASHWINI KAMATH & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

VEENA G. KAMATH & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The Appellants challenge the judgment and order dated 19.11.2025 in Miscellaneous First Appeal No.7308/2025 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru, titled "*Veena G. Kamath & Ors. vs. Ashwini Kamath & Anr.*".
3. Dr. Vipin Gupta, learned counsel, enters appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
4. The operative portion of the impugned order dated 19.11.2025 reads as under:

"i) The appeals are allowed.

ii) The order dated 01.09.2025 passed on I.A.Nos.I & II filed under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 r/w Section 151 of CPC in O.S. No.6716/2024, by the Court of the XI Addl. City Civil & Sessions Judge, Bengaluru City (CCH-8), is hereby set aside.

iii) An order of temporary injunction is granted restraining the defendants from taking independent decisions in the day-

to-day affairs of the partnership firms "Sanoor Cashew" and "M/s.Adarsh Industrial Chemicals (R)" contrary to the plaintiffs' interest, pending disposal of the suit.

iv) Also, an order of temporary injunction is granted restraining the defendants from alienating or creating third-party rights over the properties listed in schedule item Nos.1 and 2 of the suit, pending disposal of the suit."

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record, we partly allow the present appeal on the following terms:

(a) We are not inclined to interfere with direction nos.(i), (ii) and (iv) as passed by the High Court in terms of the impugned judgment/order, extracted supra, and as such they remain to be operative.

(b) We quash and set aside the direction no. (iii), with a further direction to the appellants to submit the accounts in a digital form before the Trial Court. We clarify that accounts have to be complete in all respects and are to be filed in a digital form on a quarterly basis.

(c) We clarify that we have not dealt with any of the other contentions raised before us on merits.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

NEW DELHI
27-01-2026

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).2940/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19-11-2025 in MFA No.7308/2025 passed by the High Court of Karnataka at Bengaluru]

ASHWINI KAMATH & ANR.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

VEENA G. KAMATH & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 18009/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Date : 27-01-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

For Petitioner(s) :

Dr. Aditya Sondhi, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Rohini Musa, AOR
Mr. Rujuk Sarkar, Adv.
Mr. K Chandranath Ariga, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Ms. Kiran Suri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S.J. Amith, Adv.
Ms. Aishwarya Kumar, Adv.
Mr. S. Jayadevanna, Adv.
Dr. Vipin Gupta, AOR

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeal is partly allowed in terms of the signed order, which is placed on the file.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(D. NAVEEN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)