

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)

No(s). 3222/2014

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04/09/2013
in CRA No. 557/2000 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana
At Chandigarh)

HARJIT SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for suspension of sentence and office report)

Date : 13/02/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rohit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Adv.
Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Adv.
Mr. S. L. Aneja, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V. Madhukar, AAG
Ms. Anvita C, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Nain, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is partly allowed in terms of the signed
order.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

(SONIA)

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)

Vishal Anand
Date: 2015.02.16
16:49:20 IST

SR.P.A.

COURT MASTER

Reason:

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 305 OF 2015
(@SLP(CRL.) NO. 3222 OF 2014)

Harjit Singh
Appellant

A

Versus

ORDER

We have heard learned counsel for the parties including the learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab.

Leave granted.

After having gone through the matter, we are of the opinion that the appellant be released on bail and the sentence imposed in this matter by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana against the appellant is reduced from 3 years to 2 = years already undergone by the appellant maintaining the order of conviction.

The appeal is partly allowed in the aforesaid terms.

.....J.

.....
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J.

.....
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

NEW DELHI;
13TH FEBRUARY, 2015