

SECTION XVII

MATTER FOR: 12.07.2016
R/COURT NO. 2
ITEM NO. 48

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1380-82 OF 2015

with

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8037-39 OF 2015

Odisha Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. Etc. ...Appellant

VERSUS

North Eastern Electricity Supply company
of Odisha Ltd & Ors. Etc. ...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 13.04.2016, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:

C.A. Nos. 1380-1382/2015

Served respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the common respondent No. 3 in C.A. Nos. 1380-1382/2015 and Respondent No.4 in C.A. No.1380/2015.

Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Ld.Counsel shall within a period of four weeks, as last chance file the "NOC" from earlier Advocate in respect of Respondent No.2.

C.A. Nos. 8037-8039/2015

Served respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the common respondent No. 1 in C.A. Nos. 8037 and 8038/2015.

List again on 12.7.2016."

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1380-82 OF 2015

It is submitted that Counsel for the respondent No. 1 in Appeal no. 1382 of 2015, common respondent no. 2 in Appeal Nos. 1380-82/2015 and Respondent No. 5 in Appeal No. 1382/2015 have not filed counter affidavit in the matter so far. Service is complete on respondent nos. 1 and 5 in CA no. 1380 of 2015, respondent nos. 1 and 4,5 in CA no. 1381 of 2015 and respondent nos. 4 and 6 in C.A. No.1382 of 2015 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Fresh notices were issued to unserved common respondent no. 3 in CA Nos. 1380-82 of 2015 and respondent no. 4 in CA no. 1380 of 2015. Duly signed A.D. Cards are awaited in respect of these respondents only. Service is incomplete.

It is further submitted that Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Advocate has not cured the defects in the vakalatnama she had filed but instead now for the same party in the matter Mr. Krishnayan Sen, Advocate has on 04.07.2016 filed vakalatnama on behalf of common respondent no. 2 in Appeal nos. 1380-82 of 2015 but the same is defective as he has not taken NOC from Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8037-39 OF 2015

It is submitted that Respondent no. 5 in Appeal no. 8039 of 2015 is common with respondent no. 5 in Appeal no. 1382 of 2015 and is represented by Ms. Anindita Pujari, Advocate in main matter but she has not entered appearance in Appeal no. 8039 of 2015.

It is lastly submitted that Duly signed A.D. Cards are awaited in respect of respondent no. 1 in CA nos. 8037 and 8038 of 2015. Service is incomplete.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this office report.

DATED THIS THE 11th DAY OF JULY, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to : Mr. Rutwik Panda, Advocate
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Raj Kumar Mehta, Advocate
Ms. Anindita Pujari, Advocate
Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Advocate
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR