

SECTION XVII  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1380-82 OF 2015**

with

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8037-39 OF 2015**

Odisha Electricity Regulatory Commission &  
Anr. Etc.

...Appellant

VERSUS

North Eastern Electricity Supply company  
of Odisha Ltd & Ors. Etc.

...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 15.12.2016, when the following order was passed:

**C.A. Nos. 1380-1382/2015**

Four weeks time, as last chance is given to the learned counsel for the appellants to comply with the terms of the order dated 30.9.2016 of this Court in respect of respondent No.3 in C.A.Nos. 1380-1382/2015. and Respondent No.4 in C.A. No. 1380/2015.

**C.A. Nos. 8037-8039/2015**

Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.5 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

However, Mr. Ananya Mishra, Ld. Advocate has appeared on behalf of Ms. Anindita Pujari, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.5. He seeks and is given two weeks time to file the vakalatnama and four weeks time to file the counter affidavit.

Mr. Rutwik Panda, Ld. Counsel has stated that he has already filed the vakalatnama on behalf of State of Orissa (Respondent Nos. 2 and 3). He seeks and is given four weeks time to file the counter affidavit.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the respondent No. 1 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the appellant within a period of four weeks.

List again on 30.1.2017."

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1380-82 OF 2015**

It is submitted that Counsel for the respondent No. 1 in Appeal no. 1382 of 2015, common respondent no. 2 in Appeal Nos. 1380-82/2015 and Respondent No. 5 in Appeal No. 1382/2015 have not filed counter affidavit in the matter so far. Service is complete on respondent nos. 1 and 5 in CA no. 1380 of 2015, respondent nos. 1, 4 and 5 in CA no. 1381 of 2015 and respondent nos. 4 and 6 in C.A. No.1382 of 2015 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the appellant has not taken fresh steps for effecting service in respect of the respondent No.3 in C.A.Nos. 1380-82/2015 and respondent No.4 in C.A.No.1380/2015. Service is incomplete in respect of the respondent No.3 in C.A.Nos. 1380-82/2015 and respondent No.4 in C.A.No.1380/2015.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8037-39 OF 2015**

It is submitted that Ms. Anindita Pujari, Advocate has on 15.12.2016 filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No. 5 in Appeal No. 8039 of 2015 but has not filed counter affidavit.

It is further submitted that Mr. Rutwik Panda, Advocate has filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No. 2 in all the appeals but have not filed counter affidavit. Respondent No. 3 in all the appeals is represented by Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Advocate and she has also not filed counter affidavit so far. Service is incomplete in respect of respondent no. 1 in CA nos. 8037 and 8038 of 2015 and Counsel for the appellant has not taken fresh steps for effecting service in respect of the respondent No. no. 1 in CA nos. 8037 and 8038 of 2015.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this office report.

DATED THIS THE 28th DAY OF JANUARY, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to : Mr. Rutwik Panda, Advocate  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate  
Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate  
Mr. Raj Kumar Mehta, Advocate  
Ms. Anindita Pujari, Advocate  
Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Advocate  
Mr. Krishnayan Sen, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR