

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2589 OF 2006
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

C.C.E., SURAT-I

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S. SUNTEX FABRICS PVT. LTD.ETC. ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay,PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL. DOCUMENTS and office report))

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 2828 of 2006
(With office report)

Date: 28/07/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.Karunakar Mahalik,adv.
Mr.Sukesh Ghosh,adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms.Vaishnavi Krishnamani
Ms. Meenakshi Arora ,Adv
Mr. R. Nedumaran ,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In Civil Appeal No.2589/06 respondent No.6 has refused dasti and it has been affixed at the premises of respondent No.6. However, I am not inclined to treat it as proper service. Hence, Ld.counsel for the appellant is directed to take fresh steps against respondent No.6. Ld.counsel for the appellant has filed an I.A. for amending the Cause Title which is defective. Granted four weeks time for curing the defects. Others are served. Ms.Meenakshi Arora appears for respondent Nos.1-4.

Contd....2

ITEM NO.71

-2-

In Civil Appeal No.2828/06 Ld.counsel for the appellant has filed application for substitution which is found to be defective. Granted four weeks time for curing the defects.

List the matter on 9.09.2011.

(Sunil Thomas)
Registrar