

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1643 OF 2012  
(Arising out of SLP (C) No. 4522 of 2016)

SATYENDRA SINGH

APPELLANT

VERSUS

SAROJ RANI AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

J U D G M E N T

KURIAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for Respondent No.1 The others are proforma respondents and it is not necessary to issue notice to them.
2. Leave granted.
3. The only grievance now before us is that even during the pendency of review application filed by the appellant before the High Court, the High Court is proceeding with contempt proceedings.
4. Having Heard the learned counsel for the respondents also, we feel that in the interest of justice, the High Court should dispose of the review application No. 82/2009 filed in Writ Petition No. 2889 (M/B) of 1992 within a period of one month from today. We also request the High Court to defer contempt proceedings against the appellant till the review application is disposed of.

5. We make it clear that neither the pendency of the review application nor that of the contempt proceedings and this order shall stand in the way of the parties attempting for a settlement of the disputes between them.

6. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and it will be open to the parties to raise all available contentions before the High Court.

7. The appeal is disposed of with no order as to costs.

.....J.  
[KURIAN JOSEPH]

.....J.  
[ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN]

NEW DELHI;  
FEBRUARY 22, 2016

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4522/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13/01/2016 in CN No. 2669/2013 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench)

SATYENDRA SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAROJ RANI AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and exemption from filing O.T. and interim relief)

Date : 22/02/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Guru Krishna Kumar, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. M. C. Dhingra, Adv.  
Mr. Piyush Kant Roy, Adv.  
Miss. Gauri N.Rampal, Adv.  
Mr. Nadeem Murtaza, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Prashant Chandra, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed non-reportable judgment.

(Rajni Mukhi)  
Sr. P.A.(Renu Diwan)  
Court Master

(Signed non-reportable judgment is placed on the file)