

\212ITEM NO.34

COURT NO.7

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8494/2016
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17/12/2015
in CA No. 3188/2015 in WP No. 5252/2015 passed by the High Court of
Bombay)

MANINDRA CHANDRASEN AND ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION BANK OF INDIA AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 29/04/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Praveena Gautam, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Tyagi, Adv.

Mr. Pusshp Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

As the order challenged is an interlocutory
order we deem it appropriate not to consider the
arguments advanced on the merits of the impugned
action. However, we leave it to the High Court to
consider the same and decide the issue finally, no
sooner an approach is made to the High Court by the
petitioners in the special leave petition.

The special leave petition is disposed
accordingly.

(Neetu Khajuria)

Sr.P.A. (Asha Soni)

Court Master