

\234 REVISED
ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.10 SECTION II
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 4830/2016
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31/03/2016
in BA No. 9297/2015 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at
Ranchi)

MD. ASFAQUE ALAM @ MD. ASHFAK @ DABLU @ SALMAN Petitioner(s)
VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND Respondent(s)
(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and interim relief
and office report)

Date: 15/07/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Ms. Surabhi Aggarwal, Adv.

Mr. A.N. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Ninni Susan Thomas, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order
so passed by the High Court of Jharkhand rejecting bail application
of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition stands dismissed.

However, having regard to the facts and circumstances of this
case, we direct the concerned Trial Court to conclude the hearing
of the pending matter within a period of six months, with effect
from the date of communication of this order, and if necessary, to
hold the day-to-day proceedings of the matter in question.

However, if the trial is not concluded within the period
stipulated above, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an
application for bail before the appropriate forum.

Pending application filed in the matter is also disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND) (SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER

ITEM NO.19 COURT NO.10 SECTION II
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 4830/2016
(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31/03/2016
in BA No. 9297/2015 passed by the High Court of Patna at Ranchi)

MD. ASFAQUE ALAM @ MD. ASHFAK @ DABLU @ SALMAN Petitioner(s)
VERSUS

STATE OF JHARKHAND Respondent(s)
(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and interim relief
and office report)

Date: 15/07/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Ms. Surabhi Aggarwal, Adv.

Mr. A.N. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Ninni Susan Thomas, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order
so passed by the High Court of Patna rejecting bail application of
the petitioner.

Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition stands dismissed. However, having regard to the facts and circumstances of this case, we direct the concerned Trial Court to conclude the hearing of the pending matter within a period of six months, with effect from the date of communication of this order, and if necessary, to hold the day-to-day proceedings of the matter in question.

However, if the trial is not concluded within the period stipulated above, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for bail before the appropriate forum.

Pending application filed in the matter is also disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND) (SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER