

(In Chambers)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No.5 IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 4755 OF 2001

G.M., O.N.G.C., SHILCHAR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

O.N.G.C. CONTRACTUAL WORKERS UNION

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment)

(With office report dated 13.9.2005)

Date: 22/09/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Nagar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Somnath Mukherjee, Adv.

For Applicants

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv. for

Mr. Brij Bhushan, Adv.

Mr.T. Anil Kumar, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

in an  
noting  
On an earlier occasion by an order dated 15th January, 2004  
impleadment application filed by 11 other workers, this Court after  
facts inter alia passed the following order:-

the  
legality and  
The  
r, the  
remain  
rising for any  
33 of the  
"The dispute amongst the members within  
Union cannot be taken note of and decided by this Court in  
this Appeal. The Court is concerned with the  
the maintainability of the award dated 11th July, 1994.  
prayer for impleadment cannot be allowed. Howeve  
applicants are permitted through their counsel to  
present at the hearing to watch the proceedings and protect  
their interests in the event of any occasion a  
order adverse to their interest being passed.  
If any action in violation of Section  
Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has been taken and  
employment of any worker has been discontinued during the  
pendency of the proceedings, he is at liberty to seek  
appropriate remedy by moving the Industrial Tribunal or  
any other forum in accordance with law."

In my view the above order is the correct order. This application  
is disposed of in the above terms.

(K.K. Chawla)

(Jasbir Singh)

