

T
ITEM NO.105

Court No.9

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1238 OF 2006

INDIRA Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

Date: 11/09/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Shekhar G. Devasa, Adv.
for Mr. Rohit Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous in terms of the signed order.

| (DEEPAK MANSUKHANI) | (M.S. NEGI) |
| Court Master | Court Master |

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1238 OF 2006

INDIRA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant who had been convicted under Section 392 r/w Section 397 IPC has already served the sentence of seven years awarded to her. In view of the above, this matter has become purely academic and no purpose would be served if this appeal is heard.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, learned counsel appearing for the State has also confirmed that she has already served the sentence awarded to her for the aforesaid offences.

In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....J.
(Dr. B.S. CHAUHAN)

.....J.
(FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 11, 2012.