

Ô!

SLP(C)No. 3753 OF 2004

ITEM No.23 + 66

Court No. 8

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.3753/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 23/10/2003 in RP 2989/03
of The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

RAJESH JINDAL

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C)...../2004 CC 2018
WITH I.A. No.1 (C/Delay in filing SLP)

SLP ©/2004 CC 2019
With I.A. No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and C/Delay in Refiling SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C)...../2004 CC 2241
and
SLP ©/2004 CC 2214
With appln(s) for C/delay in filing SLP and C/Delay in refiling SLP and Office Report)

C.A. NO.D1940/2004
(WITH APPLN.(S) FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING APPEAL)

SLP(C)/2004 CC 2366
(With applns(s) for C/Delay in filing SLP)

Date : 8/03/2004 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Petitioner (s)

MR. NEERAJ KUMAR JAIN, ADV.
MR. ADITYA KUMAR CHAUDHARY, ADV.
MR. BHARAT SINGH, ADV.
MR. SANJAY SINGH, ADV.
MR. UGRA SHANKAR PRASAD, ADV.

MRS. RAJ RANI DHANDA, ADV.
MR. VINEET DHANDA, ADV.
MR. J.P.DANDA, ADV.

MR. MANOJ SWARUP, ADV.
MR. AJAY GUPTA, ADV.

MR. SATINDER S. GULATI, ADV.
DR. KAILASH CHAND, ADV.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

S.L.P. © NO.3753 OF 2004

Issue Notice. Tag with SLP © NO.8031/2002.

SLP ©CC No.2018

Issue Notice on the application for condonation of delay as well as on
the

S.L.P. Tag with SLP © No.8031/2002.

SLP ©/2004 CC No. 2019

Four weeks' time is granted to file better affidavit to explain the de
lay.

SLP ©/2004 CC No. 2241,

SLP ©/2004 CC NO.2214

and

SLP ©/2004 CC NO. 2366

Four weeks' time is granted to file better affidavit to explain the delay.

C.A. NO.D1940/2004

There is no proper explanation for inordinate delay of 634 days.
Application for condonation of delay is dismissed. Accordingly, Civil Appeal stands
dismissed.

(SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR)

COURT MASTER

(PROMILA NAGPAL)

COURT MASTER

(SIGNED ORDER IN C.A. NO.D1940/2004 is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. D1940 OF 2004

JUGAL KISHORE KOHLI

VERSUS

APPELLANT

CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, H.U.D.A. & ANR.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

There is no proper explanation for the inordinate delay of 634 days. The applicatio
n for condonation of delay is accordingly dismissed. Accordingly, the Civil Appeal also stands
dismissed.

.....J. [S.N.Variava]

.....J.

[H.K.Sema]

New Delhi
March 8, 2004.