

TITEM NO.10

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NOS.14-19 IN CIVIL APPEAL NOS.4518-4523 OF 2010

M/S TATA MOTORS LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S NALINI PROPERTIES (P) LTD.& ANR.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(For stay and office report)

Date: 26/07/2010 These Matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Applicant/
Appellant(s)

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv.
Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Adv.
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.
Mr. Manu Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Jatin Mongia, Adv.
Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.
Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.
for M/s. Karanjawala & Co., Advs.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On going through the interlocutory applications, we find that requisite averments do not exist. In the circumstances, learned senior counsel, prays for withdrawal of the interlocutory application. The interlocutory application is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn. We hereby give permission to the appellant to file a fresh interlocutory application.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Madhu Saxena]
Assistant Registrar

TITEM NO.10

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NOS.14-19 IN CIVIL APPEAL NOS.4518-4523 OF 2010

M/S TATA MOTORS LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S NALINI PROPERTIES (P) LTD.& ANR.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(For stay and office report)

Date: 26/07/2010 These Matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Applicant/ Appellant(s)	Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv. Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv. Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Adv. Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv. Mr. Manu Aggarwal, Adv. Mr. Jatin Mongia, Adv. Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv. Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv. for M/s. Karanjawala & Co., Adv.
For Respondent(s)	Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv. Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On going through the interlocutory applications, we find that requisite averments do not exist. In the circumstances, learned senior counsel, prays for withdrawal of the interlocutory application. The interlocutory application is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn. We hereby give permission to the appellant to file a fresh interlocutory application.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Madhu Saxena]
Assistant Registrar