

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 3770/2010

S.E.B.I.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S NALINI PROPERTIES  
(With Office Report)

Respondent(s)

WITH  
C.A. No. 3772/2010  
C.A. No. 4518-4523/2010  
(With prayer for Office Report)

Date : 10/07/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Pratap Venugopal,Adv.  
Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan,Adv.  
M/s. K. J. John & Co. ,Adv.  
Mr. Chahat Chawla,Adv.  
M/s. Karanjawala & Co. ,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal ,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

C.A. No. 3770 and 3772/2010

The learned counsel for the appellant is present. The office report is that the Original Record has been received from the  
Signature Not Verified

Appellate  
Digitally signed by  
Madhu Grover

Tribunal, Mumbai and the same is available in the

Date: 2014.07.12  
12:49:25 IST

Registry for reference of the Hon'ble Court.  
Reason:

..2/-

Item No. 5

- 2 -

The office report further indicates that the learned counsel for the appellant has filed the statement of case but the

respondent has not filed the same though last opportunity by order dated 8.10.2012 of the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber has been given to him on that count. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

C.A. Nos. 4518-4523/2010

The Learned counsel for the appellant is present.

What gets revealed from the perusal of the Office Report is that the learned counsel for the appellant has filed an application for substitution to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent which is found to be defective. The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to cure the defects whatever have been found in the said application within a period of two weeks, as last chance, failing which appropriate orders shall follow on the next date.

List again on 12.9.2014.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

Mg