

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S  
C I V I L A P P E A L N O ( s ) . 1 8 4 9 O F 2 0 0 2

V. L A X M I N A R A S A M M A

Appellant (s)

V E R S U S

A. Y A D A I A H ( D E A D ) A N D O R S .

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

W I T H Civil Appeal NO. 1850 of 2002

Date: 30/04 / 2 0 0 9 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E Dr. J U S T I C E A R I J I T P A S A Y A T  
H O N ' B L E M R. J U S T I C E A S O K K U M A R G A N G U L Y

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao,Adv.

Mr. Annam D.N. Rao, adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manoj Saxena, adv.

Mr. Rahul Shukla, adv.

Mr.T.V.George,Adv.

Mr. Annam D.N. Rao ,Adv

Mr. Nikhil Nayyar ,Adv

Mr.T.V.George, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Civil Appeal No. 1849/ 2002 :

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Civil Appeal No. 1850 /2002 :

De-linked.

(Shashi Sareen)

Court Master

(signed reportable judgment is placed on the file)

(Shashi Bala Vij)

Court Master

R E P O R T A B L E

I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L N o . 1 8 4 9 O F 2 0 0 2

V.LA X M I N A R A S A M M A

... Appellant(s)

Versus

A.YA D A I A H ( D E A D ) A N D O R S .

... Respondent(s)

WITH  
Crl. A. No. 1850/ 2002

J U D G M E N T

Dr.A R I J I T P A S A Y A T,J.

During the hearing of these appeals it was noticed that two decisions of this Court rendered by two learned Single Judges expressed contrary views and the matter was referred to a larger Bench. The dispute relates to the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982 ( in short 'Act'). The two decisions which were referred to by the Bench while making reference to a larger Bench were Konda Lakshmana Bapuji Vs. Government of Andhra Pradesh & Ors. (2002) 3SCC 258 and N.Srinivasa Rao Vs. Special Court under the A.P.Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act & Ors. 2006 (4) SCC 214. The three Judge Bench by judgment dated 3.3.2009 held that Kunda Lakshmana Bapuji case (supra) lays down the correct law and N. Srinivasa Rao does not. The

-2-

reference was accordingly answered. The three Judge Bench was of the view that the tribunal/ Special Court constituted under the Act has requisite jurisdiction to go into the question of adverse possession. The three Judge Bench also noticed that it is one thing to say that a summary proceeding cannot be resorted to when a noticee resists bonafide dispute involving complicated questions of title and his right remain in possession of the land. But, it is another thing to say that although both the Special Court and/or Tribunal which has all the powers of a civil court would not be entitled to enter into such a contention. After the reference was answered this matter is placed before us.

So far as the appellant is concerned the following finding have been recorded by the Special Court:-

"25.In view of the fact that no document of the so-called gift of the temples and the lands having been made in favour of the ancestors of respondent 41, have been filed in the court and also in view of the statement of respondent 41 that she has been appointed as a "Poojari" for a

monthly remuneration of Rs. 17.22 by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad West and the remarks contained in the pahanics as well as in the Endowment register of 50 Years old showing the land as inam land of Dhaibagh temple, we are convicted that the petition-schedule land belongs to the applicant-temple.

-3-

27.xxxxxSince the respondent 41 and her ancestors have been Poojaris of the temples, there shall be no order of eviction against her. We feel that in all fairness, some time should be given to the respondents for demolishing the houses and for taking away the structures on the petition-schedule lands."

In view of the judgment of the three Judges-Bench the conclusion of Special Court are to be operative.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Cr1. A. No. 1850 /2002 :

De-linked.

.....J.  
(Dr. AR I J I T PAS A Y A T)

.....J.  
((ASO K KU M A R GANGUL Y)

New Delhi,  
April 29, 2009.