

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

C R I M I N A L A P P E A L N O (s) . 4 7 9 O F 2 0 0 1

S T A T E O F U T T A R P R A D E S H

A p p e l l a n t (s)

V E R S U S

N A B I S A I N & O R S

R e s p o n d e n t (s)

Date: 2 4 / 0 4 / 2 0 0 8 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

- H O N ' B L E D r . J U S T I C E A R I J I T P A S A Y A T
- H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E P . S A T H A S I V A M
- H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E A F T A B A L A M

F o r A p p e l l a n t (s)

- Mr. T.N. Singh, Adv.
- Mr. Rajeev Dubey, Adv.
- Mr. K a m l e n d r a M i s h r a , A d v .

F o r R e s p o n d e n t (s)

- Ms. Arundhati Katju, Adv.
- Mr. Sanjeev Sachdeva, Adv.

U P O N h e a r i n g c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Neena Verma)
Court Master

(Vijay Aggarwal)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.
I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
C R I M I N A L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C R I M I N A L A P P E A L N O . 4 7 9 O F 2 0 0 1

State of Uttar Pradesh

...Appellant

Versus

Nabi Sain and Ors.
ts

...Respondents

O R D E R

Heard.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we do not consider this to be a fit case where any interference is called for. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed. The respondents are on bail. Their bail bonds be discharged.

..... J. (Dr. ARI J I T PA S A Y A T)

.....J .
(P. SAT H A S I V A M)

..... J.
(AFT A B ALA M)

New Delhi,
April 24, 2008 .