

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR B.SUDHEENDRA KUMAR

I.A. No. 1 In Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).19860-19877/2005

HINDUSTAN STEEL WORKS CONST.LTD.& ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JAWAHAR LAL MATHUR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For substituted service by way of publication in newspaper )

Date: 10/05/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Shipra Ghose,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra,Adv.

Mr. H.K. Puri ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The petitioners have filed an application praying for service of notice on:

Respondent Nos.1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19 to 23, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 39, 41, 42 in SLP

(C) No.19860/2005

Respondent No. 1 in SLP(C) 19861/2005

Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 5 in SLP(C) No.19862/2005.

Respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No.19863/2005.

Respondent Nos.1 to 11, 13, 14, 16, 19, 21 to 23 in in SLP(C) No.19864/2005.

Respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No.19865/2005.

Respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No.19866/2005.

Respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No.19867/2005.

Respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No.19868/2005.

Respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No.19869/2005.

Respondent Nos.1, 5, 6, 7 to 10, 12, 14, 15, 18 to 22, 24 to 39, 41 to 47, 49, 52 to 54, 57, 59 to 63, 65 to 66,

68, 70-71, 76, 77, 80-84, 86 to 88, 91, 92, 95-99, 102-104, 107, 108, 110, 114, 116-118, 120-122, 125-127, 129,

131-133, 135, 139, 141, 144, 145, 146, 149-150 in SLP(C) No.19870/2005.

Respondent No. 3 in SLP(C) No.19871/2005.

Respondent Nos.3 to 6 in SLP(C) No.19872/2005.

Respondent Nos. 2, 4 to 11, 14, 15, 22, 24, 25, 27, 30, 32, 34, 35, 39, 41, 43, 50, 54, 61, 64, 65, 67, 68, 71, 75,

78, 79, 80, 81, 83, 84, 87, 90, 91, 93, 95, 97-100, 103-107, 109, 111, 114, 117-121, 124, 125, 127-129, 131-137,

139, 143, 145, 147, 148 in SLP(C) No.19873/2005.

Respondent Nos. 5, 7 to 11, 13 to 16, 17 to 20 in SLP(C) No.19875/2005.

Respondent Nos.4 to 6, 8, 10, 12, 13 in SLP(C) No.19876/2005.

Respondent Nos.4 to 8, 10 in SLP(C) No.19877/2005.

Heard. I have also perused the application.

It is revealed from the application that the notice could not be served on the above

respondents under normal mode of service despite the earnest efforts taken in this regard. I am satisfied

from the averments in the application that notice could not be served on the above respondents under

any normal mode of service. The 'Navbharat Times', is a Hindi daily and the 'Hitavada' is an English

daily having wide circulation in the place of residence of the respondents. Therefore, I am inclined to

allow this application with a further direction that notice on the above respondents be served by

affixation on their last known address as well.

-2-

In the result, this application stands allowed and it is ordered that notice on the respondents

be served by publication in 'Navbharat Times' and 'Hitavada' dailies. The process for publication shall

be given as dasti to the petitioner who shall file affidavit in proof of publication along with the

newspapers within six weeks. The process for affixation shall be sent from the office directly to the

District Judge concerned.

List again on 14.7.2006.

ENDRA KUMAR)

(B.SUDHE

Registrar

hj