

Æ"

SLP(Crl.)No. 1086 OF 2000  
ITEM No.2

Court No. 8

SECTION II  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 1086/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 30/09/1999 in CRLWP 603/99  
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, NEW DELHI & ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

SANJAY & ANR.

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for stay )  
( For Final Disposal )

Date : 02/08/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.P. MISRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. PHUKAN

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.

Mr. Harjinder Singh,Adv.  
Ms. Vandana Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Navdeep Singh Barar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

The special leave petition is dismissed in terms of  
the signed order.

.SP1

Sarita (V.P. Tyagi) @@  
AA  
Court Master@@  
AAAAAAAAAAAAA

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA@@  
AA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION@@  
AA

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, NEW DELHI & ANR. . .PETITIONERS

VERSUS

SANJAY & ANR. . .RESPONDENTS

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

This petition is directed against an order passes by the High Court in writ petition filed by the detenu challenging his detention order dated 23rd April, 1999 passed by the Commissioner of Police under sub-Section (2) of Section 3 of the National Security Act, 1980. The High Court quashed the detention order and set the detenu at liberty. Against this order, the State has come up in appeal. We are informed, three other detenues belonging to the same gang as of the respondents, their detention order was also set aside when an appeal, was preferred against that order to this Court, the learned Attorney General appearing for the State fairly submitted that since the detention order was made on 23rd April, 1999 which was to last for twelve months and that period having expired and the detenu having already released, he would not like to press this appeal. Apart from the fact that the present case is also similar to the one as aforesaid, the High Court has given good reasons for setting aside the detention order and setting the detenues at liberty which does not call for any interference.

We do not find, any sustainable ground has been raised in the present case, which calls for our interference in the order passed by the High Court. Accordingly the present petition has no merits. The special leave petition is dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.  
(A.P. Misra)

New Delhi,  
August 2, 2001.

.....J.  
(S.N. Phukan)