

t

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.8

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos.3 & 4 in
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2830/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 06/07/2005 in FAFO No.228/1999
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

STATE OF U.P.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAYA DEVI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for
restoration)

Date: 13/09/2013 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sudeep Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This is a special leave petition of the year 2006. After the issuance of show cause notice, the petitioner could not serve the respondent. The petitioner moved an application for substituted service, which was allowed. However, no steps were taken thereafter in this behalf.

On 9.7.2010, one week's time was granted for filing the application for substituted service. It was made clear that on failing to do so, the special leave petition would be dismissed, without further reference to the court.

As no steps were taken by the petitioner, the special leave petition was dismissed. This has happened in the year 2010.

After a delay of 479 days, applications for restoration of the special leave petition and condonation of delay in filing the same are filed.

No ground is made out to condone the delay. The application for restoration is dismissed.

| (NARENDRA PRASAD)
| COURT MASTER

| | (RENUKA SADANA)
| | COURT MASTER