

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl)...../2005

CRLMP. 2404

(From the judgement and order dated 04/10/2004 in CRLMWP No.7788/2004  
of the HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

R. VENKATRAMAN & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STAE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With CRL.M.P.No.2404/2005 for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 04/03/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. VENKATARAMA REDDI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. U.U. Lalit, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Santosh Paul,Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra,Adv.

Mr. P.V. Dinesh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

aking  
ss we are not  
petitioners  
ng the  
charge,  
the petition filed  
ion is

Though we feel that the High Court should have passed a spe  
order having regard to the contentions raised, neverthele  
inclined to interfere at this stage, especially for the reason that the  
have a remedy to raise the appropriate contentions at the time of frami  
charge. The trial court shall consider the objections before framing  
without being influenced by the factum of dismissal of  
under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by the High Court. The special leave petit  
dismissed with the above observation.

....2/-

-2-

Learned counsel for the petitioners then submits that the petitioners  
may be granted interim protection against the arrest. We are not inclined to  
pass any order in this regard. However, we would only like to observe that in  
case the application for regular bail is moved, the trial court shall dispose of  
the same expeditiously and without avoidable delay.

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER