

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 7256 OF 2003

GHAZIABAD DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RANJEET SINGH

Respondent(s)

Date: 25/08/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Appellant(s) Ms. Reena Singh, adv.

Mr. Devesh Kumar, Adv.
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

In-Person

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of by modifying the impugned order of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi to the extent that the rate of interest awarded by the Commission is reduced from 18% per annum to 12% per annum. However, the rest of the impugned order is maintained. No order as to the costs.

(Ajay Kr. Jain)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7256 OF 2003

Ghaziabad Development Authority

.... Appellant

Versus

Ranjeet Singh

.... Respondent

O R D E R

Heard Mrs. Reena Singh, learned counsel for the

appellant. None appeared for the respondent, although he has been served with notice for today.

This appeal is directed against the judgment and order dated 5.10.2001 of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi whereby the revision petition filed by the appellant herein has been dismissed and the award of interest @ 18% per annum for the delay in handing over the possession of the plot of land to the respondent has been upheld.

We are informed by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant has entered into a compromise with the respondent, thereby agreeing to pay him interest @ 12% per annum (excluding the period during which stay order was in operation) for the delay in handing over possession. Accordingly, we dispose of this appeal by modifying the impugned order of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi to the extent that the rate of interest awarded by the Commission is reduced from 18% per annum to 12% per annum. However, rest of the impugned order is maintained.

Appeal disposed of. No order as to the costs.

We make it clear that this order shall not be treated as a precedent.

After we had dictated the abovesaid order in Court and retired to our chambers, we were informed by our Court Masters that the respondent, appearing in person, later on appeared and informed that he was standing outside the Court and did not come to know when the matter was called out.

In these circumstances, we direct that the presence of the respondent, appearing in person, may be recorded.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(ASOK KUMAR GANGULY)